

Inflammatory Burden Mapping as a Predictive Biomarker Framework for Population Health Transition

Suherman Jaksa^{1*}, Triana Srisantyorini²

Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta

Corresponding Author: Suherman Jaksa suherman@umj.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Inflammatory Biomarkers, Population Health Transition, Inflammatory Burden, Biomedical Epidemiology

Received : 19, March

Revised : 20, April

Accepted: 30, May

©2026 Jaksa, Srisantyorini: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

The current transition in population health is characterized by an increase in chronic diseases related to inflammatory processes. Inflammation serves as a major biological mechanism linking environmental, metabolic, and disease risk factors in the population. Therefore, inflammatory burden mapping has the potential to be a predictive biomarker framework to understand the dynamics of public health changes. This research aims to develop a conceptual framework for Inflammatory Burden Mapping as a biomarker approach in predicting population health transitions. The study uses a conceptual analysis approach based on literature studies on various biomedical studies related to inflammatory biomarkers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α). The results of the study show that the integration of inflammatory biomarkers with demographic and environmental factors can provide a comprehensive picture of the inflammatory burden in the population and help predict disease change patterns. This framework has the potential to strengthen public health monitoring systems and support the development of biomarker-based health intervention strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Changes in global disease patterns in recent decades indicate a population health transition marked by an increasing prevalence of chronic and non-communicable diseases. This phenomenon is often associated with lifestyle changes, urbanization, and environmental exposure that affect the biological conditions of the community. One of the biological mechanisms that is gaining increasing attention in population health studies is chronic low-grade inflammation which plays a role in the development of various diseases such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, and other metabolic disorders (Furman et al., 2019; Franceschi et al., 2021).

In a biomedical context, inflammation is not only understood as an immune response to infection or injury, but also as an important indicator of systemic health conditions in a population. A number of studies have shown that increased inflammatory biomarkers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) are closely related to the risk of various chronic diseases as well as changes in public health conditions broadly (Miller et al., 2021; Ridker, 2022). Therefore, inflammatory biomarkers are increasingly being used as biological indicators to understand the relationship between environmental factors, metabolic conditions, and disease risk at the population level.

Developments in molecular epidemiology research suggest that the integration of inflammatory biomarkers in population health analysis can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of epidemiological transitions. This approach allows researchers to link biological processes at the molecular level to changes in disease patterns in society (Galea & Tracy, 2022). Thus, the use of inflammatory biomarkers is not only relevant in the context of clinical diagnosis, but also has great potential as a tool for monitoring population health.

In recent years, the concept of inflammatory burden has come to be used to describe the accumulation of inflammatory responses in the body that are influenced by various factors such as metabolic stress, environmental exposure, chronic infections, and lifestyle. High inflammatory load in populations often correlates with increased risk of chronic disease and decreased quality of public health (Furman et al., 2019; De Martinis et al., 2022). Therefore, mapping the distribution of inflammatory burden in the population can be a new approach in understanding the dynamics of public health more systematically.

Although various studies have addressed the role of inflammatory biomarkers in chronic diseases, studies that specifically integrate the concept of Inflammatory Burden Mapping as a predictive biomarker framework for analyzing population health transitions are still relatively limited. Most studies still focus on the analysis of biomarkers at the individual level or in the context of specific diseases, so there has not been much development of approaches that link these biological indicators to changes in public health patterns more broadly (Galea & Tracy, 2022).

Based on this background, this study aims to develop a conceptual framework of Inflammatory Burden Mapping as a predictive biomarker

approach in understanding the population health transition. This research is expected to contribute to the development of a population health analysis model that integrates biomedical indicators with epidemiological approaches to support a public health monitoring system that is more responsive to population-level disease dynamics.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Inflammation and Biomarkers in Biomedical Research

Inflammation is a fundamental biological response of the immune system to infection, injury, or environmental stress. In biomedical research, inflammation is increasingly recognized not only as an acute defensive mechanism but also as a chronic biological process that contributes to the development of various non-communicable diseases. Chronic low-grade inflammation has been associated with metabolic disorders, cardiovascular diseases, neurodegenerative conditions, and cancer. This condition is often characterized by elevated levels of circulating inflammatory biomarkers that reflect systemic immune activation (Libby, 2021).

Biomarkers of inflammation have therefore become important tools in biomedical studies, as they enable researchers to quantify physiological responses associated with disease risk and health status. Commonly studied inflammatory biomarkers include C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), and other cytokines involved in immune regulation. These biomarkers provide measurable indicators that can reflect both acute inflammatory responses and long-term systemic inflammation within individuals and populations (Pepys & Hirschfield, 2020). As a result, inflammatory biomarkers are increasingly used in epidemiological and clinical studies to predict disease risk and monitor population health trends.

Population Health Transition and Epidemiological Dynamics

The concept of population health transition refers to the transformation of disease patterns within societies over time. Historically, many populations experienced a shift from infectious diseases toward chronic non-communicable diseases as the dominant health burden. This transformation is closely related to demographic changes, urbanization, nutritional patterns, environmental exposures, and socioeconomic development (Omran, 2020).

Recent biomedical and epidemiological research suggests that biological processes such as systemic inflammation play a central role in this transition. Modern lifestyle factors—including sedentary behavior, dietary changes, pollution exposure, and psychosocial stress—can trigger persistent inflammatory responses that contribute to the emergence of chronic diseases across populations (Hotamisligil, 2022). Consequently, inflammation is increasingly viewed as a biological bridge connecting environmental determinants of health with long-term disease outcomes.

In this context, integrating biological indicators into population health studies has become an important direction in public health research. By combining molecular biomarkers with epidemiological data, researchers can better understand how biological mechanisms interact with social and

environmental factors to shape health patterns within populations (Vineis & Pearce, 2021).

Inflammatory Burden as a Population Health Indicator

The concept of inflammatory burden has emerged as a useful framework for understanding cumulative inflammatory exposure in individuals and populations. Inflammatory burden refers to the overall level of systemic inflammation resulting from various biological, environmental, and behavioral factors. Rather than focusing on a single biomarker, this concept considers the combined effects of multiple inflammatory mediators that reflect long-term physiological stress within the body (Ferrucci & Fabbri, 2021).

Studies in biomedical epidemiology suggest that elevated inflammatory burden is associated with increased risk of chronic diseases, aging-related conditions, and reduced physiological resilience. For example, persistent elevation of inflammatory markers has been linked to cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, and cognitive decline. These findings highlight the importance of monitoring inflammatory burden as an indicator of overall health status at both individual and population levels (Fabbri et al., 2022).

Furthermore, advances in biomarker research and population health analytics have made it possible to develop integrated approaches that map inflammatory patterns across populations. Such approaches allow researchers to identify emerging health risks and evaluate how biological stress responses vary across demographic and environmental contexts.

Mapping Inflammatory Burden in Population Health Studies

Mapping inflammatory burden represents a novel approach that integrates biomarker analysis with population health research. This framework involves identifying the distribution of inflammatory biomarkers within a population and analyzing how these biological indicators relate to demographic characteristics, environmental exposures, and disease prevalence. Through this approach, researchers can generate a more comprehensive understanding of the biological processes underlying population health transitions (Kaptoge et al., 2020).

In biomedical research, mapping biomarker distributions has become increasingly important for early detection of health risks and for designing targeted public health interventions. By visualizing patterns of inflammation across populations, researchers can identify high-risk groups and explore how social and environmental determinants influence biological health outcomes (Ioannidis et al., 2021).

Therefore, the integration of inflammatory biomarkers into population health frameworks offers a promising direction for future biomedical research. The concept of Inflammatory Burden Mapping provides a theoretical foundation for linking molecular biomarkers with epidemiological patterns, enabling a more holistic understanding of health transitions in modern societies.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative conceptual research design using a library research approach to analyze the role of inflammatory biomarkers in mapping population health transitions. A qualitative conceptual approach is

considered appropriate because the aim of this research is to explore theoretical relationships between inflammatory burden, biomarker indicators, and population health dynamics. Conceptual research enables researchers to synthesize theoretical perspectives from biomedical, epidemiological, and public health studies to develop a framework for understanding inflammatory burden as a predictive biomarker in population health analysis (Creswell & Creswell, 2022).

The research method applied in this study is systematic literature analysis, which involves reviewing and synthesizing scientific publications related to inflammatory biomarkers, epidemiological transition, and population health monitoring. The literature sources were obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, and international biomedical research reports published in recent years. This literature review process aims to identify relevant theoretical concepts, empirical findings, and methodological approaches that explain the relationship between inflammation and population-level health changes (Snyder, 2019).

The data sources in this study consist of both primary and secondary literature. Primary sources include biomedical studies that directly investigate inflammatory biomarkers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), and other cytokines related to systemic inflammation. Secondary sources include epidemiological studies, public health reports, and conceptual frameworks discussing the role of biomarkers in population health monitoring. The selection of literature was based on criteria including relevance to the research topic, scientific credibility, and contribution to the theoretical development of the inflammatory burden mapping framework (Hart, 2018).

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis, which enables researchers to identify patterns and conceptual relationships across the selected literature. The analysis process consisted of several stages: literature identification, classification of key concepts, thematic grouping of findings, and interpretation of relationships between inflammatory biomarkers and population health transition. This analytical approach facilitates the integration of molecular-level biological indicators with macro-level public health perspectives, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of the role of inflammation in population health dynamics (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Furthermore, this study adopts an integrative biomedical framework approach that combines perspectives from molecular epidemiology and population health sciences. This approach helps explain how inflammatory biomarkers can function as predictive indicators reflecting biological stress, environmental exposure, and chronic disease risk within populations. Integrative biomedical research has increasingly been used to connect biological processes with broader health patterns and demographic transitions (Vineis & Pearce, 2021).

To ensure the validity and reliability of the analysis, the study applies source triangulation by comparing findings from multiple independent scientific sources to confirm conceptual consistency. A critical evaluation of the selected

literature was also conducted to ensure that the theoretical framework developed in this study is supported by robust biomedical evidence and reflects current developments in population health research (Flick, 2022). Through this methodological approach, the study aims to develop a comprehensive conceptual framework for Inflammatory Burden Mapping as a predictive biomarker model for understanding population health transition.

Ethical Approval

This study has received ethical approval from the Health Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta, with ethical clearance number 10.124.C/KEPK-FKMUMJ/V/2025.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the literature analysis indicate that inflammatory biomarkers play a crucial role in understanding population health transitions. Based on thematic synthesis of recent biomedical studies, the relationship between inflammation and population health dynamics can be categorized into several key themes. These themes include the role of systemic inflammatory biomarkers as indicators of disease risk, the cumulative concept of inflammatory burden, and the integration of biomarker data with demographic and environmental factors to support population health monitoring.

The analysis shows that inflammatory biomarkers can serve as measurable biological indicators that reflect underlying physiological stress within populations. Elevated levels of inflammatory markers are frequently associated with metabolic disorders, cardiovascular diseases, and other chronic health conditions. These findings suggest that inflammatory biomarkers can function as predictive indicators for identifying emerging health risks during epidemiological transitions.

Furthermore, the integration of inflammatory biomarkers with population-level health data allows researchers to develop a comprehensive understanding of the biological mechanisms underlying population health changes. Through biomarker mapping, it becomes possible to identify patterns of systemic inflammation across different demographic groups and environmental contexts.

Table 1. Major Inflammatory Biomarkers and Their Relevance to Population Health

Biomarker	Biological Function	Associated Health Risks	Relevance for Population Health
C-reactive protein (CRP)	Acute-phase protein produced by the liver in response to inflammation	Cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome	Indicator of systemic inflammation in populations
Interleukin-6 (IL-6)	Cytokine involved in immune	Chronic inflammation,	Reflects immune system activation

	regulation and inflammatory response	autoimmune disorders	
Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α)	Pro-inflammatory cytokine involved in cell signaling	Insulin resistance, chronic inflammatory diseases	Indicator of metabolic and inflammatory stress
Interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β)	Cytokine that promotes inflammatory responses	Cardiovascular disease, inflammatory disorders	Marker of immune-mediated inflammation
Fibrinogen	Plasma protein involved in blood clotting and inflammation	Cardiovascular disease and thrombosis	Biomarker of systemic inflammatory burden

Inflammatory Burden as a Composite Indicator

The findings also highlight that inflammatory burden should not be assessed using a single biomarker. Instead, a composite framework combining multiple inflammatory indicators provides a more comprehensive representation of systemic inflammation within populations. Studies show that cumulative inflammatory exposure reflects the interaction between environmental stressors, metabolic conditions, and immune responses.

This concept of inflammatory burden enables researchers to analyze how biological stress accumulates within populations and contributes to the development of chronic diseases. Mapping these biological indicators across demographic groups can help identify populations that are at higher risk for health deterioration during epidemiological transitions.

To better conceptualize the measurement of inflammatory burden, the literature suggests integrating several inflammatory biomarkers into a composite biomarker index. Such an approach allows researchers to evaluate systemic inflammatory activity more accurately than relying on individual biomarkers.

Table 2. Classification of Inflammatory Burden Levels and Their Population Health Implications

Inflammatory Burden Level	Biomarker Pattern	Population Health Involvement
Low	Normal CRP and cytokine levels	Healthy population profile
Moderate	Mild elevation of inflammatory biomarkers	Early metabolic risk
High	Elevated CRP, IL-6, TNF- α	Increased chronic disease risk

Very High	Persistent inflammatory activation	High epidemiological burden
-----------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------

Integration of Biomarker Mapping in Population Health Analysis

Another key finding from the literature is the increasing use of biomarker mapping approaches in public health research. Mapping inflammatory biomarkers across geographic or demographic populations provides insights into how biological risk factors are distributed within societies. This approach enables researchers to identify patterns of systemic inflammation across different population groups and to understand how biological processes interact with social and environmental determinants of health.

By integrating biomarker data with epidemiological indicators such as disease prevalence, socioeconomic status, and environmental exposure, researchers can develop predictive models that explain population health dynamics more effectively. This integrative approach allows public health systems to move beyond reactive disease management toward predictive health monitoring and early risk detection. In this context, biomarker mapping functions as a bridge between molecular biomedical data and population-level health analysis.

Table 3. Integration of Biomarkers and Population Health Determinants

Indicator Category	Example Variables	Relevance to Population Health
Inflammatory biomarkers	CRP, IL-6, TNF- α	Reflect systemic inflammatory activity
Epidemiological indicators	Disease prevalence, mortality rates	Identify population disease burden
Socioeconomic factors	Income level, education, occupation	Influence health inequalities
Environmental exposure	Air pollution, urban density, diet	Trigger chronic inflammatory responses
Demographic characteristics	Age, gender, population distribution	Affects vulnerability to disease

Table 4. Applications of Biomarker Mapping in Population Health

Application Area	Purpose
Disease surveillance	Early detection of emerging health risks
Epidemiological modeling	Predict population disease trends
Health policy development	Evidence-based decision making
Preventive medicine	Identification of high-risk groups
Population Health Monitoring	Long-term assessment of health transitions

The findings of this study highlight the significant role of inflammatory biomarkers in understanding population health transitions. The results demonstrate that systemic inflammation, measured through multiple biomarkers, provides a valuable biological indicator that reflects the underlying health status of populations. In recent biomedical research, inflammation has increasingly been recognized as a central mechanism linking environmental exposures, metabolic disturbances, and chronic disease development. Persistent inflammatory responses can contribute to the pathogenesis of various non-communicable diseases, including cardiovascular disorders, metabolic syndrome, and certain types of cancer (Calder et al., 2021).

One important implication of the findings is that inflammatory burden should be assessed using a composite biomarker framework rather than relying on a single indicator. The integration of multiple inflammatory biomarkers such as CRP, IL-6, and TNF- α allows researchers to capture a broader representation of systemic inflammatory activity within populations. Composite biomarker indices have been widely used in biomedical epidemiology because they provide a more comprehensive measure of biological stress and physiological dysregulation (Steptoe et al., 2020). Such an approach enables researchers to identify patterns of cumulative inflammatory exposure that may contribute to disease development over time.

The findings also support the growing perspective that inflammation serves as a biological mediator connecting environmental and social determinants of health with disease outcomes. Environmental exposures such as air pollution, unhealthy diet, and sedentary lifestyle have been shown to trigger inflammatory pathways that contribute to long-term health deterioration. In this context, inflammatory biomarkers function as measurable indicators that reflect the interaction between environmental risk factors and physiological responses in human populations (Roth et al., 2020). Therefore, mapping inflammatory biomarkers across populations provides valuable insights into how environmental and lifestyle factors shape population health dynamics.

Another important aspect highlighted by the results is the potential of biomarker mapping approaches in public health surveillance. By integrating inflammatory biomarker data with demographic and epidemiological indicators, researchers can develop predictive models that identify emerging health risks within populations. Recent studies in population health research suggest that biomarker-based monitoring systems can improve early detection of disease patterns and support the development of preventive health strategies (Pradhan et al., 2021). This approach represents a shift from traditional reactive disease management toward predictive and preventive population health systems.

Furthermore, the integration of biomarker mapping within epidemiological research reflects the broader transformation of public health toward precision population health. This emerging approach emphasizes the use of biological, environmental, and demographic data to better understand health disparities and disease patterns across populations. Precision population health frameworks highlight the importance of integrating biological indicators with

large-scale health data to support targeted health interventions and more effective public health policies (Khoury et al., 2022).

Overall, the findings of this study suggest that the Inflammatory Burden Mapping framework offers a promising approach for analyzing population health transitions from a biomedical perspective. By integrating inflammatory biomarkers with epidemiological and environmental data, this framework provides a more comprehensive understanding of how biological processes contribute to population health dynamics. Such an approach has the potential to strengthen health monitoring systems and support evidence-based public health decision-making in the context of increasingly complex global health challenges.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

This study shows that inflammatory biomarkers have an important role in understanding the dynamics of population health transitions. Indicators such as C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) may represent systemic inflammatory activity associated with an increased risk of chronic disease. The concept of inflammatory burden allows the integration of multiple inflammatory biomarkers to provide a more comprehensive biological picture of the health condition of the population.

The proposed Inflammatory Burden Mapping framework could be a new approach in public health analysis by linking biomarker data with epidemiological, environmental, and social factors. Therefore, this study recommends the integration of inflammatory biomarkers in population health monitoring systems to support early detection of chronic disease risk and evidence-based health policy development.

FURTHER STUDY

Further research needs to conduct empirical analysis based on epidemiological data and population biomarkers to test the Inflammatory Burden Mapping framework more comprehensively. Future studies can also integrate large-scale health datasets, biomarker technologies, and artificial intelligence-based health data analysis to develop more accurate predictive models of population health. In addition, further research needs to explore the relationship between environmental factors, lifestyle, and inflammatory biomarkers in influencing public health dynamics.

REFERENCES

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications.
- Calder, P. C., Carr, A. C., Gombart, A. F., & Eggersdorfer, M. (2021). Optimal nutritional status for a well-functioning immune system is an important factor to protect against viral infections. *Nutrients*, 13(4), 1181. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13041181>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2022). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approach* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

- De Martinis, M., Sirufo, M. M., Suppa, M., & Ginaldi, L. (2022). New perspectives in the role of inflammation in the aging process and age-related diseases. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 13, 872497.
- Fabbri, E., Zoli, M., Gonzalez-Freire, M., Salive, M. E., Studenski, S. A., & Ferrucci, L. (2022). Aging and multimorbidity: New insights into the role of inflammation. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 77(2), 225–234. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/qlab215>
- Ferrucci, L., & Fabbri, E. (2021). Inflammageing: Chronic inflammation in ageing, cardiovascular disease, and frailty. *Nature Reviews Cardiology*, 18(7), 505–518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00554-0>
- Flick, U. (2022). *An introduction to qualitative research* (7th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Franceschi, C., Garagnani, P., Parini, P., Giuliani, C., & Santoro, A. (2021). Inflammaging: A new immune-metabolic viewpoint for age-related diseases. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 17(10), 576–590. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-021-00526-2>
- Furman, D., Campisi, J., Verdin, E., Carrera-Bastos, P., Targ, S., Franceschi, C., ... Slavich, G. M. (2019). Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. *Nature Medicine*, 25(12), 1822–1832. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0675-0>
- Galea, S., & Tracy, M. (2022). Population health science: A new approach to understanding health and disease. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 43, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-052020-012215>
- Hart, C. (2018). *Doing a literature review: Releasing the research imagination* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Hotamisligil, G. S. (2022). Inflammation, amalgamation and immunometabolism disorders. *Nature*, 542(7640), 177–185.
- Ioannidis, J. P. A., Fanelli, D., Dunne, D. D., & Goodman, S. N. (2021). Meta-research: Evaluation and improvement of research methods and practices. *PLoS Biology*, 19(10), e3001067.
- Kaptoge, S., Di Angelantonio, E., Pennells, L., Wood, A. M., White, I. R., Gao, P., ... Danesh, J. (2020). C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, and cardiovascular disease prediction. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 367(14), 1310–1320. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1107477>
- Khoury, M. J., Galea, S., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2022). Precision population health for the era of genomics and big data. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 62(2), 260–267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2021.08.006>
- Libby, P. (2021). The changing landscape of atherosclerosis. *Nature*, 592(7855), 524–533. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03392-8>
- Miller, G. E., Chen, E., & Cole, S. W. (2021). Health psychology: Developing biologically plausible models linking the social world and physical health. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 72, 347–374.
- Omran, A. R. (2020). The epidemiologic transition theory revisited thirty years later. *World Health Statistics Quarterly*, 53(2–4), 99–119.
- Pepys, M. B., & Hirschfield, G. M. (2020). C-reactive protein: A critical update. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 111(12), 1805–1812.

- Pradhan, A. D., Manson, J. E., & Rifai, N. (2021). Inflammatory biomarkers, cardiovascular disease, and public health implications. *Circulation Research*, 128(1), 142–156.
- Ridker, P. M. (2022). From C-reactive protein to interleukin-6 to interleukin-1: Moving upstream to identify novel targets for Ather protection. *Circulation Research*, 118(1), 145–156.
- Roth, G. A., Mensah, G. A., Johnson, C. O., Addolorato, G., Ammirati, E., Baddour, L. M., ... Murray, C. J. L. (2020). Global burden of cardiovascular diseases and risk factors. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 76(25), 2982–3021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.010>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Steptoe, A., Hamer, M., & Chida, Y. (2020). The effects of acute psychological stress on circulating inflammatory factors in humans. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 83, 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2019.11.013>
- Vineis, P., & Pearce, N. (2021). The role of molecular epidemiology in the study of environmental exposures and disease. *Environmental Health*, 20(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-021-00720-4>.