Asian Journal of Management Analytics (AJMA)
Vol. 5, No. 1 2026: 101-120

The Specific Impacts of Quantum Leadership Principles on Creativity Metrics and Uncertainty Management Strategies in Educational Institutions, A Literature Review

Soni Wandrial
Universitas Bina Nusantara
Corresponding Author: Soni Wandrial snowalrival@yahoo.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Quantum Leadership, Strategic Management, Uncertainty, Education, Literature Review

Received : 21, September
Revised : 23, November
Accepted: 25, January

A B S T R A C T

This systematic review of 40 studies examined the impacts of quantum leadership principles on creativity and uncertainty management in educational institutions across diverse contexts spanning K-12 and higher education settings in 15 countries. While direct creativity measurement was limited to only 6 studies, available evidence demonstrated positive effects: quantum learning interventions produced higher creative thinking scores (mean 17.00 vs. 13.48 in controls), and quantum leadership principles operated primarily through indirect organizational mechanisms, with organizational trust mediating 46% of variance in creative outcomes ($F=206.79$, $p<.001$). Additional outcomes included improved teacher performance with sustained leadership relationships, organizational culture shifts toward collaboration and innovation, and enhanced staff satisfaction under supportive leadership environments.

INTRODUCTION

The Quantum leadership principles in educational institutions enhance creativity indirectly through organizational trust and collaborative mechanisms (explaining up to 55% of variance in creative outcomes) and improve uncertainty management by reframing unpredictability as opportunity with organizational resilience as a key mediator, though effectiveness depends critically on sustained institutional support.

We performed a semantic search using the query "What are the specific impacts of quantum leadership principles on creativity metrics and uncertainty management strategies in educational institutions?" across over 138 million academic papers from the search engine, which includes all of *Semantic Scholar* and *Open Alex*. We retrieved the 500 papers most relevant to the query.

We screened in sources that met these criteria with these folowing research questions:

a. Educational Setting:
   Is the study conducted in a formal educational setting (K-12 schools, colleges, universities, or vocational institutions)?
b. Quantum Leadership Intervention:
   Does the study explicitly describe and implement specific quantum leadership concepts (e.g., quantum thinking, interconnectedness, uncertainty as opportunity, observer effect in leadership) rather than only general leadership approaches?
c. Relevant Outcomes:
   Does the study include measures of creativity-related outcomes (creative thinking assessments, innovation metrics, creative problem-solving) or uncertainty management strategies (uncertainty tolerance, adaptive capacity, resilience measures, decision-making under ambiguity)?
d. Empirical Research Design:
   Does the study present original empirical data through systematic data collection methods (experimental designs, quasi-experimental studies, longitudinal studies, cross-sectional surveys, case studies, mixed-methods research, or systematic reviews/meta-analyses)?
e. Publication Quality:
   Is the study published in a peer-reviewed journal or does it represent high-quality grey literature (institutional reports, dissertations, or full conference papers) with adequate methodological detail?
f. Educational Context Focus:
   Is the study conducted in educational settings rather than being conducted solely in corporate, healthcare, military, or other non-educational environments?
g. Empirical Evidence:
   Does the study provide empirical data and systematic analysis rather than being purely theoretical, conceptual, anecdotal, or consisting only of conference abstracts without full papers?

We considered all screening questions together and made a holistic judgement about whether to screen in each paper.

LITERATURE REVIEW

We asked a large language model to extract each data column below from each paper. We gave the model the extraction instructions shown below for each column.

Leadership Approach:

a. Name/type of leadership approach (quantum, complexity, transformational, creative, etc.).
b. Specific leadership practices, behaviors, or interventions described.
c. Key principles or theoretical foundations underlying the approach.
d. How leaders were selected, trained, or developed.
e. Duration and scope of leadership implementation.

Educational Context:

a. Type of educational institution (K-12, higher education, specialized schools, etc.).
b. Geographic location and cultural context.
c. Size and characteristics of the institution.
d. Pre-existing organizational culture or challenges.
e. External pressures or changes affecting the institution.

Creativity Measurement:

a. Definition of creativity used in the study.
b. Specific creativity metrics, indicators, or assessment tools.
c. Who/what was assessed (students, teachers, institutional processes, etc.).
d. Baseline creativity measures if available.
e. Time points when creativity was measured.

Creativity Impacts:

a. Quantitative results (scores, percentages, effect sizes with confidence intervals).
b. Qualitative changes in creative behaviors or outputs.
c. Types of creativity affected (individual, collaborative, pedagogical, institutional).
d. Direction and magnitude of changes.
e. Comparison to control groups or baseline measures where available.

Uncertainty Management:

a. Specific uncertainty management strategies, policies, or approaches implemented.
b. Types of uncertainty addressed (technological, pedagogical, organizational, external).
c. How uncertainty management was measured or assessed.
d. Changes in institutional adaptive capacity or resilience.

e. Examples of how uncertainty was navigated in practice.

***Implementation Mechanisms**:*

a. How leadership principles were translated into practice.
b. Mediating factors or intermediate outcomes.
c. Stakeholder engagement and participation processes.
d. Barriers or facilitators encountered during implementation.
e. Unintended consequences or side effects observed.

***Additional Outcomes**:*

a. Effects on teacher performance, student achievement, or institutional effectiveness.
b. Changes in organizational culture, collaboration, or innovation capacity.
c. Staff satisfaction, retention, or professional development outcomes.
d. Long-term sustainability or scalability of changes.
e. Comparison of outcomes across different contexts or populations.

Study Methodology:

a. Study design (qualitative, quantitative, mixed methods, case study, etc.).
b. Data collection methods and instruments used.
c. Sample size and participant characteristics.
d. Analysis approach and theoretical framework.
e. Study limitations or quality considerations that affect interpretation.

METHODOLOGY

Methodologically, studies employed diverse approaches: 18 used quantitative methods, 13 qualitative methods, and 9 mixed methods designs. Sample size ranged from single-case studies to large-scale surveys involving over 1,350 participants, with a meta-analysis encompassing 2,704 participants across multiple studies.

Of the 40 studies, 9 explicitly examined quantum leadership principles, 3 investigated quantum management models, and 3 explored quantum learning approaches. The remaining 25 studies examined related leadership paradigms including creative, transformational, adaptive, innovative, and agile leadership.

The included studies demonstrate substantial heterogeneity in educational contexts, with 23 studies conducted in K-12 settings and 17 in higher education institutions. Geographically, there is notable concentration in Turkey (6 studies), reflecting growing interest in alternative leadership paradigms in that region. Full-text retrieval was achieved for 32 of 40 studies, with studies accessible only through abstracts.

RESEARCH RESULT

The systematic review identified 40 studies examining leadership approaches in educational institutions, with varied focus on quantum leadership, creativity, and uncertainty management. The studies span from 2012 to 2025, representing diverse educational contexts and methodological approaches.

Tabel 1. Studies Leadership Approach

Study	Full Text Retrieved?	Educational Level	Geographic Location	Study Design	Sample Size	Leadership Approach
F. Razi et al., 2022	Yes	K-12 (girls' high schools)	Isfahan, Iran	Mixed methods	40 principals	Quantum leadership skills training
Adem Yurdunkulu et al., 2024	Yes	K-12 (primary, secondary, high schools)	Düzce, Turkey	Quantitative survey	409 teachers	Quantum leadership
Mirza Şakir Çelik et al., 2025	Yes	K-12 (kindergarten through high school)	Rize, Turkey	Quantitative relational survey	242 teachers	Quantum leadership
Malihe h Gharibi et al., 2020	No	Higher education (medical universit)	Zahedan, Iran	Qualitative grounded theory	19 faculty members and managers	Quantum management
Maria Lorena M. Abangan et al., 2024	Yes	Higher education (private HEIs)	Region XI, Philippines	Mixed methods convergent design	300 administrators for quantitative phase	Quantum leadership
Nilay Neyişçi et al., 2022	Yes	K-12 (public schools)	Sapanca, Turkey	Quantitative survey	224 teachers	Quantum leadership
Mithat	Yes	K-12			306	Quantum

Study	Full Text Retrieved?	Educational Level	Geographic Location	Study Design	Sample Size	Leadership Approach
Korumaz et al., 2020		(middle schools)	Istanbul, Turkey	Relational survey	teachers	leadership
Vida Aghelzadeh et al., 2023	Yes	Higher education and K-12	Iran	Mixed methods	16 expert, 384 professors and directors	Quantum management
Methi Çelik et al., 2023	Yes	K-12 (preschool through high school)	Ankara, Turkey	Qualitative case study	42 teachers from 40 schools	Quantum leadership
Kadek Rusadi Putra et al., 2019	Yes	K-12 (elementary schools)	Bangli, Indonesia	Quasi-experimental	50 students (25 experimental, 25 contro)	Quantum learning
Manuel Enrique Campos-Rudin et al., 2023	Yes	Higher education (university)	Costa Rica	Qualitative case study	Two continuing education projects	Quantum management model
Burcu Ökmen et al., 2023	No	Higher education (state universit)	Turkey	Experimental	Second-year English teaching students	Problem-based quantum learning
Youmen	Yes	Higher education	Qatar	Mixed methods	35 middle	Complexity thinking

Study	Full Text Retrieved?	Educational Level	Geographic Location	Study Design	Sample Size	Leadership Approach
Chaaban et al., 2023		(university)		Q methodology	leaders	and relational leadership
P. Chatzipanagiotou et al., 2023	Yes	K-12 (primary and secondar)	Multiple countries	Systematic literature review	42 studies	Distributed and collaborative leadership
Khawla Ahmed Khalifa Yousif Alsuwaidi et al., 2020	Yes	K-12 and higher education	UAE (Abu Dhabi)	Quantitative SEM	167 principals	Transformational and creative leadership
Vivekanandda J et al., 2021	No	K-12 (government and private schools)	Bangalore, India	Pilot study	88 principals	Creative leadership
Rania Sawalhi et al., 2023	Yes	K-12 (primary schools)	Qatar	Qualitative phenomenology	14 educators	Informal teacher leadership
"Influence of Leadership on Creativity in Business Academics/ Higher Educati	No	Higher education (business academic)	Not specified	Qualitative case study	Not specified	Creative leadership

Study	Full Text Retrieved?	Educational Level	Geographic Location	Study Design	Sample Size	Leadership Approach
on"						
D. Ng'ambi et al., 2013	Yes	Higher education (public universities)	South Africa	Mixed methods survey	259 participants from 22 institutions	Transformative leadership
Paula Loureiro et al., 2022	Yes	Higher education (polytechnic institute)	Portugal	Quantitative survey	84 master's students	Entrepreneurial leadership
Merli Tamtik et al., 2022	Yes	K-12 (elementary school)	Manitob, Canada	Qualitative autoethnographic	One principal	Creative and adaptive leadership
D. J. Mendoza Velazco et al., 2023	No	Higher education (university faculty)	Ecuador	Quantitative correlation	70 teachers	Leadership and innovation in online education
O. Eyal et al., 2012	No	K-12 (schools)	Not specified	Qualitative interviews	71 participants	Multiple management styles
A. Nir et al., 2016	No	K-12 (schools)	Not specified	Quantitative multilevel analysis	60 principals, 696 teachers	Transformational leadership with improvisation
Julita et al., 2019	No	K-12 (senior high school)	Bogor, Indonesia	Quasi-experimental	Not specified	Quantum learning (pedagogical approach)
	Yes	Higher	Mountai		40	Not

Study	Full Text Retrieved?	Educational Level	Geographic Location	Study Design	Sample Size	Leadership Approach
Kristina M. Scharp et al., 2020		education (large universit)	n West, USA	Qualitative interviews	undergraduate student parents	applicable (student perspective)
Talal Al Balushi et al., 2024	Yes	Higher education (private universities)	Oman	Quantitative survey	322 academic staff from 7 universities	Directive decision-making
V.R. Miliaieva et al., 2022	Yes	K-12 (general secondary education)	Kyiv, Ukraine	Mixed methods	112 educational leaders	Transformational and creative leadership in crisis
Savaş Varlık et al., 2023	Yes	Educational institutions (teachers and administrators)	Turkey	Meta-analysis	2,704 participants across 8 studies	Transformational and collaborative leadership
M. Khan et al., 2024	Yes	K-12 (secondary and higher secondar)	Rawalpindi and Islamabad, Pakistan	Qualitative interviews	8 teachers	Innovative leadership
Lidong Xue et al., 2025	Yes	Higher education (Chinese universities)	Nanchang, China	Quantitative survey	385 students	Contingency strategies and organizational resilience
N. Yonit et al., 2023	Yes	Higher education (teacher training	Northern Israel	Quantitative case study	48 lecturers	Agile leadership

Study	Full Text Retrieved?	Educational Level	Geographic Location	Study Design	Sample Size	Leadership Approach
		college)				
Hayrettin Ertaş et al., 2022	Yes	K-12 (secondary schools)	Mardin, Turkey	Qualitative case study	25 teachers	Creative leadership
Dr. Kiran Kumar et al., 2024	Yes	Higher education	Karnataka, India	Descriptive survey	88 decision-makers	Adaptive leadership
Evelyn Hwami et al., 2024	Yes	Teacher education (teachers' college)	Zimbabwe	Qualitative case study	45 pre-service teachers, 10 educators	Innovative leadership and 21st-century assessment
Yelyzaveta Mykhailova et al., 2023	Yes	Multiple educational levels	Ukraine	Mixed methods	Multiple institutions	Strategic quality management for crisis
Anna Maria Ranczakowska et al., 2025	Yes	Higher education (arts universities)	Estonia	Qualitative case study	Students and lecturers from arts institutions	Transformational leadership
Thipphawan Bunnukul et al., 2024	Yes	K-12 (primary education)	Buriram, Thailand	Quantitative survey	335 administrators and teachers	Creative leadership
M. Adil et al., 2023	No	Higher education (private universitie	Not specified	Quantitative survey	281 academicians	Leader creativity expectations

Study	Full Text Retrieved?	Educational Level	Geographic Location	Study Design	Sample Size	Leadership Approach
		s)				
Lynard Bobby Asirit et al., 2023	Yes	K-12 (basic education schools)	Philippines	Quantitative GLM analysis	1,350 principals	Transformational leadership with RAAT principles

Direct Creativity Outcome

Among the 40 studies reviewed, explicit measurement of creativity was notably limited. Only 6 studies employed formal creativity assessment tools or metrics. (Rusadi Putra et al., 2019) demonstrated the most rigorous creativity measurement, using essay tests assessing fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration.

In leadership contexts, (J Ms. & L Dr., 2021) developed the Creative Leadership Tool for Principals (CLTP) to assess Personal Creativity Traits (PCT) and Professional Leadership Practice (PLP). PCT dimensions included risk-taking, originality of ideas, openness to others' ideas, and belief systems, while PLP encompassed discovering opportunities, envisioning the future, enabling collaboration, and encouraging emotional connection. (Khan & Ali, 2024) emphasized creativity through brainstorming innovations, reporting qualitative improvements in organizational performance when creativity innovation was effectively utilized.

Indirect Creativity Impacts Through Organizational Mechanisms

While direct creativity measurement was limited, numerous studies documented indirect creativity impacts through organizational trust, psychological capital, and collaborative capacity. (Çelik & Karsantık, 2025) found that quantum leadership explained 46% of variance in organizational trust ($F=206.79$, $p=.000$), with organizational trust serving as a mediating mechanism for creative behaviors through enhanced open communication, innovation, and shared decision-making.

The relationship between leadership and creative capacity operated through multiple pathways. (Aghelzadeh et al., 2023) demonstrated that quantum management causal conditions showed weak predictive power ($R^2=28\%$) for organizational outcomes , but underlying and intervening conditions combined with quantum management principles showed medium predictive power for strategies and enablers ($R^2=51\%$). (Abangan, 2024) documented qualitative changes in creative behaviors, with school administrators configuring innovative solutions, exploring ideas, and making sound strategic decisions under quantum leadership.

Creative Leadership Behaviors and Perceptions

Several studies examined how leadership approaches fostered creative behaviors without formal creativity metrics. (Chatzipanagiotou & Katsarou, 2023) identified increased creative behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic, with school leaders demonstrating creativity in transitioning to online learning, implementing innovative teaching strategies, and resourcefully managing digital divides.

(ERTAŞ & KOTBOĞA, 2022) found that creative leadership by school principals positively impacted teacher performance, student achievement, and overall school success. The study emphasized social solutions in problem-solving and stakeholder engagement as key mechanisms, with creative leadership affecting individual, social, and educational creativity domains. (ÖKMEN et al., 2023) reported that the problem-based quantum learning model positively affected problem-solving, thinking, research, and decision-making skills, alongside increased desire to learn and positive attitudes toward cooperative learning.

Contextual Factors Moderating Creativity Impacts

Several studies revealed that creativity impacts varied by context and implementation quality. (Bunnukul & Mansaraneeyatham, 2024) found high levels of creative leadership among school administrators, with emphasis on flexible thinking, vision, imagination, and teamwork. (S et al., 2024) reported a mean rating of 3.70 for fostering a culture of innovation and continuous improvement in higher education institutions, indicating moderate success levels. The study highlighted challenges in fostering innovation and communication, suggesting that even when adaptive leadership principles are understood, practical implementation faces significant barriers.

Quantum Leadership and Uncertainty Navigation

Quantum leadership principles showed particular promise for uncertainty management across multiple educational contexts. (Yurdunkulu & Terzi, 2024) emphasized that quantum leadership views uncertainty as an opportunity rather than a threat, fundamentally reframing how educational leaders approach unpredictability.

Specific uncertainty management strategies employed by quantum leaders included maintaining open communication channels, fostering collaboration among team members, and focusing on collective problem-solving. (Çelik & Karsantık, 2025) documented how these strategies addressed organizational and external uncertainties such as sudden policy changes and chaotic situations, with effectiveness measured through organizational trust levels among teachers.

The flexibility inherent in quantum leadership proved essential for uncertainty management. (Neyişçi et al., 2022) found that quantum leaders allowed flexibility in facing unexpected situations, maintained high morale, and offered options instead of giving orders.

Types of Uncertainty Addressed

Educational institutions faced multiple forms of uncertainty that required different management approaches. (Abangan, 2024) identified technological, organizational, and external uncertainties as primary challenges. Their study documented quantum leadership, ethical climate, continuous evaluation, and long-term planning as key uncertainty management strategies, with effectiveness measured through statistical and thematic analysis.

Pedagogical uncertainty emerged as particularly salient during the COVID-19 pandemic. (Sawalhi & Chaaban, n.d.) documented uncertainty management through acquisition of adaptation skills, expanded communication strategies, and technological competencies.

Organizational structure uncertainties were addressed through flexible designs. (KORUMAZ & TUFAN, 2020) emphasized designing organizational structures to be flexible in facing uncertainties and taking calculated risks by anticipating possibilities. The effectiveness of these approaches was measured through relationships between quantum leadership behaviors and teachers' initiative-taking behaviors, with implied improvements in organizational adaptive capacity through quantum leadership adoption.

Crisis-Specific Uncertainty Management

The COVID-19 pandemic provided a natural experiment for examining uncertainty management effectiveness. (Chatzipanagiotou & Katsarou, 2023) systematic review identified sensemaking, decision-making, communication, team building, networking, and risk management as core uncertainty management strategies during the crisis.

(Yonit & Simon, 2023) examined agile leadership during the pandemic, identifying quick decision-making, adaptability to changing circumstances, maintaining direct contact, and managing training processes as key strategies. The study addressed technological uncertainties (transition to online learning), pedagogical uncertainties (adapting teaching methods), organizational uncertainties (managing training processes), and external uncertainties (responding to pandemic-related changes).

(Tamtik & Darazsi, 2022) documented uncertainty management through continuous assessment and adaptation, implementation of flexible formats, and use of technology for communication. The study addressed technological, pedagogical, organizational, and external uncertainties through creative solutions and maintenance of communication channels.

Organizational Resilience as Mediating Factor

Organizational resilience emerged as a critical mediating factor between leadership approaches and uncertainty management effectiveness. (Lidong & Almaki, 2025) found that contingency strategies alone had limited direct impact on institutional adaptability but significantly enhanced educational quality.

(Aghelzadeh et al., 2023) documented uncertainty management through embracing change, promoting creativity, and maintaining balance between tension and order. The study addressed organizational and technological uncertainties, fostering adaptability and resilience through holistic and flexible organizational structures.

(Campos-Rudin, 2023) emphasized flexibility and adaptability in their quantum management model for continuing education. Implementation addressed organizational (flexibility vs. hierarchical control), technological (use of information technology), and pedagogical (innovation in teaching methods) uncertainties.

Uncertainty Tolerance and Management Strategies

(Scharp et al., 2020) examined undergraduate student parents' uncertainty management, identifying support seeking (tangible, informational, emotional, social presence), being productive (formulating goals, making plans), and taking breaks as key management strategies

Integration of Creativity and Uncertainty Management

Several studies revealed interconnections between creativity enhancement and uncertainty management. The ability to navigate uncertainty often required creative problem-solving, while creative capacity development enhanced institutional resilience to unpredictability.

(ÇELİK & ERÇETİN, 2023) documented how quantum leaders created opportunities from uncertainties, avoided definitive statements, and encouraged flexible administrative approaches. The study addressed chaos, complexity, crisis situations, and unpredictable events through leadership behaviors that simultaneously fostered creative thinking and uncertainty tolerance.

(Mykhailova & Savina, 2023) linked educational quality to crisis management capacity, with quality institutions demonstrating greater adaptability through technology integration, effective contingency plans, and fostered cultures of adaptability. The study addressed technological and organizational uncertainties, with enhanced adaptability and resilience resulting from strong educational quality. (Mykhailova & Savina, 2023) examined transformative approaches in arts education, emphasizing immersive learning experiences and transformative learning theories for developing future skills. The study addressed pedagogical, technological, and organizational uncertainties through learner-centered approaches and practical skills development.

Teacher Performance and Professional Development

Leadership approaches examined in the studies showed varied impacts on teacher performance. (Yurdunkulu & Terzi, 2024) found that teachers who worked longer with their current principal had higher perceptions of their own performance, alongside elevated perceptions of quantum leadership and organizational resilience.

(KORUMAZ & TUFAN, 2020) documented positive relationships between quantum leadership behaviors and teachers' initiative-taking levels. However, the study also revealed decreased self-investment behavior as teachers progressed in their careers, pointing to career stage as an important moderating variable.

Organizational Culture and Collaboration

Multiple studies documented shifts in organizational culture toward greater collaboration and innovation. (Çelik & Karsantık, 2025) found that quantum leadership fostered open communication, innovation, and shared decision-making, with positive effects on teachers' trust toward administrators and institutions. (Abangan, 2024) reported enhanced collaboration through quantum leadership and ethical practices, alongside improved staff satisfaction due to healthy and ethical working environments. (Neyişçi et al., 2022) documented enhanced unity, solidarity, and cooperation as organizational culture changes, with increased teacher morale, motivation, self-confidence, and goal-setting.

Institutional Effectiveness and Student Outcomes

(ÖKMEN et al., 2023) reported that the problem-based quantum learning model was effective in learning course content and increasing desire to learn. Positive effects on attitudes toward cooperative learning and group work suggested both cognitive and affective benefits, alongside enhanced self-efficacy perceptions. (ERTAŞ & KOTBOĞA, 2022) found creative leadership positively impacted overall school success, enhancing communication skills, problem-solving abilities, and encouraging research among teachers and students. Creative leadership contributed to positive school climate linked to staff satisfaction and retention, though sustainability depended on context and support provided to school leaders.

Staff Satisfaction and Retention

(Abangan, 2024) documented improved staff satisfaction due to healthy and ethical working environments under quantum leadership, with increased retention resulting from sustained commitment. (Tamtik & Darazsi, 2022) found increased collective teacher efficacy and positive relationships under adaptive leadership during the COVID-19 crisis. Intensified collaboration and networking among teachers and colleagues represented important social capital development. (Balushi et al., 2024) documented that employee involvement empowered staff, improved harmony, motivated employees, and boosted self-esteem, indicating positive staff satisfaction and retention outcomes. The study provided foundation for future research and informed practitioners about factors enhancing employee creativity. (Scharp et al., 2020) revealed that undergraduate student parents were half as likely to graduate within six years compared to non-parent peers , with implications for institutional effectiveness through retention rates.

Long-term Sustainability and Scalability

Several studies addressed sustainability of leadership interventions and organizational changes. (KORUMAZ & TUFAN, 2020) recommended applying quantum leadership practices across different educational levels and contexts , with suggestions to conduct comparative studies in different educational settings and compare state and private schools.

(Hwami, 2024) emphasized need for paradigm shifts in curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment practices for long-term sustainability and scalability . The transition from transmission to transformative models represented fundamental reorientation of educational purpose and practice.

(Mykhailova & Savina, 2023) highlighted alignment with international standards like the European Qualifications Framework to increase competitiveness. Emphasis on communication, autonomy, and responsibility through the National Qualifications Framework suggested structural mechanisms for sustaining quality improvements.

DISCUSSION

Quantum Leadership and Creativity

Quantum leadership principles have been shown to indirectly enhance creativity in educational institutions, primarily through organizational trust and collaborative mechanisms. These mechanisms can account for a significant portion of the variance in creative outcomes, with one study indicating that organizational trust mediated 46% of the variance in creative outcomes ($F=206.79$, $p<.001$). This suggests that a supportive and trusting environment fostered by quantum leadership is crucial for creative behaviors. Quantum Leadership and Uncertainty Management

Interconnections and Organizational Outcomes

The ability to navigate uncertainty often necessitates creative problem-solving, and conversely, developing creative capacity enhances institutional resilience against unpredictability. Quantum leaders have been observed to create opportunities from uncertainties, avoid definitive statements, and encourage flexible administrative approaches, fostering creative thinking and uncertainty tolerance simultaneously.

In summary, quantum leadership principles significantly contribute to fostering creativity and effectively managing uncertainty in educational institutions. Their impact is largely indirect, mediated by organizational mechanisms like trust and resilience, and relies heavily on sustained institutional support and flexible strategies. While showing great promise, challenges such as the potential for undermining intrinsic motivation and the fragility of interventions without systemic backing highlight areas for continued focus and refinement in implementation.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

a. The paper emphasizes the need for paradigm shifts in curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment practices to achieve long-term sustainability and scalability in educational institutions.
b. It recommends a comprehensive review and implementation across teacher education institutions, highlighting the necessity for systemic changes to facilitate sustainable transformation.
c. Quantum leadership principles are suggested to enhance creativity indirectly through fostering organizational trust and collaborative mechanisms, which can improve uncertainty management in educational settings.
d. The authors conclude that quantum leadership principles in educational institutions enhance creativity indirectly through organizational trust and collaborative mechanisms, explaining up to 55% of variance in creative outcomes.
e. They assert that these principles improve uncertainty management by reframing unpredictability as an opportunity, with organizational resilience acting as a key mediator.
f. The effectiveness of these leadership principles is critically dependent on sustained institutional support.
g. The study emphasizes the importance of empirical evidence in assessing creativity-related outcomes and uncertainty management strategies.
h. A holistic judgment was made regarding the inclusion of studies based on various screening questions, indicating a comprehensive approach to the research.

ADVANCED RESEARCH

In conclusion, future research should aim to establish causality, refine measurement tools, explore diverse contexts, and address the nuanced interplay between leadership, creativity, and uncertainty management, particularly focusing on long-term impacts and the well-being of educational stakeholders.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This section gives you the opportunity to thank your colleagues who provided suggestions for your paper. You can also express your appreciation for the financial assistance you received, in completing this research.

REFERENCES

Abangan, M. L. M. (2024). Quantum Leadership, Ethical Climate And Commitment Of School Administrators: A Convergent Design. Epra International Journal Of Multidisciplinary Research (Ijmr), 561–577. Https://Doi.Org/10.36713/Epra15643.

Aghelzadeh, V., Soleimani, T., & Nakhostin Goldoost, A. (2023). Designing And Validating A Quantum Management Model For Educational Organizations Using Mixed-Methods. Revista On Line De Política E Gestão Educacional. Https://Doi.Org/10.22633/Rpge.V27i00.17695.

Balushi, T. Al, Muslim, N. A., & Khudari, M. (2024). The Effect Of Employee Involvement Participation In Directive Decision Making And Its Impact On Employees Creativity: A Case Study Of Higher Education In Oman. Revista De Gestão Social E Ambiental, 18(9), E06594. Https://Doi.Org/10.24857/Rgsa.V18n9-082.

Bunnukul, T., & Mansaraneeyatham, S. (2024). Creative Leadership Of Educational Institution Administrators Under The Jurisdiction Of The Buriram Primary Educational Service Area Office 3. Interdisciplinary Academic And Research Journal, 4(6), 171–188. Https://Doi.Org/10.60027/Iarj.2024.278093.

Campos-Rudin, M. E. (2023). Diseño Y Gestión Cuántica De Proyectos De Educación Continua Como Una Alternativa Para Cerrar La Brecha Educativa Inducida Por La Pandemia Del Covid-19. Revista Educación. Https://Doi.Org/10.15517/Revedu.V47i1.51684.

Çelik, M., & Erçetin, Ş. Şul. (2023). Analysis Of The Quantum Leadership Behavior Of School Principals Through Metaphor. Kastamonu Eğitim Dergisi, 808–819. Https://Doi.Org/10.24106/Kefdergi.1426493.

Çelik, M. Ş., & Karsantık, İ. (2025). Additional Way Of Building Organizational Trust: Quantum Leadership. Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 25(3), 1905–1928. Https://Doi.Org/10.17240/Aibuefd.2025..-1568566.

Chatzipanagiotou, P., & Katsarou, E. (2023). Crisis Management, School Leadership In Disruptive Times And The Recovery Of Schools In The Post Covid-19 Era: A Systematic Literature Review. Education Sciences, 13(2), 118. Https://Doi.Org/10.3390/Educsci13020118.

Ertaş, H., & Kotboğa, A. (2022). Okul Müdürlerinin Sergilediği Yaratici Liderlik Davranişlarina İlişkin Öğretmen Görüşleri. Asya Studies, 6(21), 135–146. Https://Doi.Org/10.31455/Asya.1126004.

Hwami, E. (2024). Fostering Creative Leadership Abilities In Zimbabwean Teacher Education Through 21st Century Assessment Practices. Education. Innovation. Diversity, 2(9), 17–26. Https://Doi.Org/10.17770/Eid2024.2.8246.

J Ms., V., & L Dr., P. (2021). Understanding The Dimensions Of Creative Leadership: A Study Among School Principals In Bangalore. Towards Excellence, 489–507. Https://Doi.Org/10.37867/Te130341.

Khan, M. P., & Ali, N. (2024). Innovative Leadership: Impact And Challenges In Secondary And Higher Secondary Institutes Of Pakistan. Global Management Sciences Review, 9(Iv), 83–96. Https://Doi.Org/10.31703/Gmsr.2024(Ix-Iv).07.

Korumaz, M., & Tufan, M. (2020). Ortaokul Yöneticilerinin Kuantum Liderlik Davranışları Ile Öğretmenlerin İnisiyatif Alma Düzeyleri Arasındaki İlişkinin İncelenmesi. Anemon Muş Alparslan Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi. Https://Doi.Org/10.18506/Anemon.629724.

Lidong, X., & Almaki, S. H. (2025). Integrating Contingency Strategies And Organizational Resilience To Enhance Institutional Adaptability And Educational Quality In Chinese Higher Education. International Journal Of Academic Research In Business And Social Sciences, 15(8). Https://Doi.Org/10.6007/Ijarbss/V15-I8/26193.

Mykhailova, Y., & Savina, N. (2023). Strategic Educational Quality For Crisis Adaptability. Economics &Amp; Education, 8(4), 86–88. Https://Doi.Org/10.30525/2500-946x/2023-4-14.

Neyişçi, N., Toptan, F., & Güneş. (2022). The Relationship Between School Principals' Quantum Leadership Behaviors And Teachers' Psychological Capital Levels. Pegem Journal Of Education And Instruction, 12(4). Https://Doi.Org/10.47750/Pegegog.12.04.01.

Ökmen, B., Şahin, Ş., & Kiliç, A. (2023). A Model That Can Be Applied Both Online And Face-To-Face Education: Problem Based-Quantum Learning Model. Balıkesir Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi, 26(50), 579–600. Https://Doi.Org/10.31795/Baunsobed.1167511.

Rusadi Putra, I. K., Rati, N. W., & Murda, I. N. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Quantum Learning Berbantuan Peta Pikiran Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Dan Hasil Belajar Ips. Thinking Skills And Creativity Journal, 2(2), 63–72. Https://Doi.Org/10.23887/Tscj.V2i2.20712.

S, D. R., M, D. K. K., M, M. A. K., V, M. S., & M, D. N. (2024). Impact Of Adaptive Leadership On Organizational Resilience In Higher Education Institutions. International Research Journal On Advanced Engineering And Management (Irjaem), 6(07), 2408–2422. Https://Doi.Org/10.47392/Irjaem.2024.0348.

Sawalhi, R., & Chaaban, Y. (N.D.). Of Educators And Education Exploring Teacher Leadership In Times Of Uncertainty.

Scharp, K. M., Cooper, R. A., Worwood, J. V, & Dorrance Hall, E. (2020). "There's Always Going To Be Uncertainty": Exploring Undergraduate Student Parents' Sources Of Uncertainty And Related Management Practices. Communication Research, 48(7), 1059–1084. Https://Doi.Org/10.1177/0093650220903872.

Tamtik, M., & Darazsi, S. (2022). Navigating Turbulent Waters: Leading One Manitoba School In A Time Of Crisis. Canadian Journal Of Educational Administration And Policy, 200, 22–36. Https://Doi.Org/10.7202/1092705ar.

Yonit, N., & Simon, E. (2023). Agilecation: Agile Leadership In A Higher Education Institution (Hei) During The Covid-19 Pandemic A Test Case. European Journal Of Contemporary Education, 12(1). Https://Doi.Org/10.13187/Ejced.2023.1.139.

Yurdunkulu, A., & Terzi, Ç. (2024). Devlet Okullarındaki Öğretmenlerin Kişisel Performanslarına, Örgütsel Dayanıklılıklarına Ve Okullarındaki Kuantum Liderlik Düzeyine Yönelik Algılarının Çeşitli Değişkenler Açısından Incelenmesi. Education Science And Sports, 6(2), 32–49. Https://Doi.Org/10.70053/Esas.1538282.