East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (EAJMR)
Vol.3, No.6 2024: 2167-2180

Comparative Analysis of Accrual and Cash Accounting Methods in Financial Reporting

Lusi Elviani Rangkuti[1*], Amerruddin Shah Suboh[2]
[1]Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara
[2]Universiti Sains Malaysia
Corresponding Author: Lusi Elviani Rangkuti lusi.elviani@fe.uisu.ac.id

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Accrual Accounting, Cash Accounting, Financial Reporting

Received : 18, April
Revised : 20, May
Accepted: 22, June

A B S T R A C T

This study examines the comparative analysis of accrual and cash accounting methods in financial reporting. Accrual accounting, which records revenues and expenses when they occur, provides a comprehensive and accurate depiction of a company's financial health. It is preferred by larger companies and those requiring compliance with accounting standards such as GAAP and IFRS. Conversely, cash accounting records transactions only when cash changes hands, offering simplicity and ease of use, making it suitable for small businesses and individuals. Despite its straightforward nature, cash accounting may not fully represent a company's financial commitments and resources. The choice of accounting method influences financial reporting accuracy, complexity, compliance, and strategic decision-making. This study highlights the strengths and limitations of each method, providing insights for businesses to select the appropriate approach based on their size, complexity, and stakeholder requirements.

INTRODUCTION

Financial reporting is the process of documenting financial information that is used by managers, investors, regulators and other parties to assess the financial performance of an organization (Barth and Schipper 2008). In this context, the accrual method and the cash method are the two main approaches that have significant differences in recording financial transactions. These two methods, although they have the same goal, namely providing an overview of the company's financial position and performance, differ in the way and time of recording income and expenses (Smith 2005). The accrual method records revenue when the transaction occurs, regardless of whether cash has been received or not (Sa'diyah and Yuhertiana 2021). Likewise, expenses are recorded when a liability arises, not when payment is made. Thus, this method provides a more precise picture of the financial situation and operating performance during a certain period. For example, revenue from sales that occur in December will be recorded in that month, even if payment is received in January of the following year. In contrast, the cash method only recognizes revenue when cash is received and records expenses when cash is paid. This method is simpler and is often used by small businesses and individuals who do not require strict adherence to accounting standards. The advantage of this approach is the ease of recording and managing cash flows because it only involves transactions that actually occur in the form of cash (Fight 2005).

The complexity of the accrual method often poses a challenge, especially for organizations that do not have sufficient resources to handle more detailed record-keeping (Maseko and Manyani 2011). This method requires a sophisticated recording system and a deep understanding of accounting principles. Additionally, the accrual method requires end-of-period adjustments, such as the accrual of accrued income and expenses, which adds complexity (Ohlson and Zhang 1998). The cash method, on the other hand, is easier to implement and understand. Recording transactions only occurs when there is a cash inflow or outflow, so there is less need for end-of-period adjustments (De Capriles 1962). This makes the cash method an attractive option for small businesses or individuals who want to keep their finances simple and easy to manage.

However, the cash method has significant limitations. Because it only reflects actual cash flows, this method does not provide a complete picture of liabilities or unearned income. For example, if a company has shipped goods but has not received payment, the revenue will not appear in the financial statements until payment is received. This can provide an inaccurate picture of a company's financial health at any given time (Marriner 1980). In the context of large companies and organizations that require more comprehensive reporting, the accrual method is preferred. International accounting standards such as International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) require the use of the accrual method because it provides more relevant and reliable information for stakeholders (Epstein, Nach, and Bragg 2009). With the accrual method, financial statements reflect all revenues and expenses associated with the reporting period, providing a more accurate picture of financial performance.

Companies that use the accrual method can better plan and manage their resources. By knowing all income and liabilities, management can make more informed decisions about expenses, investments, and debt management (Petty et al. 2015). Additionally, this method helps in monitoring performance over time, as revenues and expenses are recorded in the same period when the transactions occur. On the other hand, the cash method is often better at managing short-term liquidity (Ichsan 2014). By focusing on actual cash flow, companies can more easily ensure that they have enough cash to meet their short-term obligations (Naiwasha et al. 2023). This is especially important for small businesses that may not have access to additional resources in the event of liquidity difficulties.

In some cases, companies may use a combination of both methods for internal management purposes (Widyastuti et al. 2017). For example, official financial reports are prepared based on the accrual method to comply with accounting standards, while internal cash reports are used to monitor daily cash flow (Rasyid 2023). This approach can provide the flexibility and benefits of both methods. However, the use of a combination of these methods also requires caution in the interpretation of financial statements. Stakeholders must understand the reporting basis used for each report in order to make accurate judgments about the company's performance and financial position (Arum Ardianingsih and CA 2021). Ambiguity in the reporting basis can lead to misinterpretations and inappropriate decisions (Nugroho and Halim 2016).

The difference between the accrual method and the cash method can also affect the taxes a company must pay (Putri 2019). In some jurisdictions, the method used for tax reporting may affect the amount of tax owed (Utami and Irawan 2022). The accrual method may result in higher taxes because income is recognized earlier, while the cash method may delay the recognition of income and expenses, thereby reducing taxes owed in the short term (Pandu Winoto 2019). These two methods also have implications for financial analysis and company valuation. Financial analysts tend to trust reports prepared based on the accrual method more because they provide a more complete and accurate picture of financial performance and position. Accrual financial reports allow for deeper analysis of profit margins, financial ratios, and performance trends over time.

On the other hand, reports based on the cash method are more direct and easy to understand, which can be useful in certain situations (Foster III, McNelis, and Smith 2012). For example, to assess short-term liquidity or operating cash flows, the cash method can provide more relevant and immediate information. In this case, cash basis analysis can be a valuable tool for management. The choice between the accrual method and the cash method is also influenced by the regulations and standards applicable in each country. Some countries may require the use of the accrual method for certain entities, especially public companies or those of a certain size and complexity. Companies operating in multiple jurisdictions must ensure that their financial statements meet local and international requirements (Needles and Powers 2013).

Additionally, accrual and cash methods also influence the way companies manage and report their assets and liabilities. Under the accrual method, assets and liabilities are recorded as transactions occur, providing a more accurate picture of a company's assets and liabilities. This is important for long-term solvency and liquidity analysis. The cash method, with its focus on cash flows, is simpler but can blur the overall picture of assets and liabilities. For example, accounts receivable and accounts payable may not appear on the cash statement, even though they are important components of a company's financial position. This can influence how investors and creditors assess a company's financial health.

In order to increase the transparency and reliability of financial reports, many large companies and organizations use accounting software systems that support the accrual method. This system not only helps in proper recording but also in preparing reports that comply with applicable accounting standards. Additionally, this software can help with audits and regulatory compliance. In conclusion, both the accrual method and the cash method have their respective advantages and disadvantages, and the choice of method depends on the needs, size and goals of the company. The accrual method provides a more complete and accurate picture of financial performance and position, while the cash method is simpler and provides a direct view of cash flow. A good understanding of these two methods and their implications is essential for managers, investors and other stakeholders to make informed decisions based on the financial information presented.

THEORETICAL REVIEW

In the context of financial reporting, accounting methods play an important role in preparing accurate and informative reports (Djamil 2023). The two main methods that are often used are the accrual accounting method and the cash accounting method. These two methods have different characteristics, advantages, and disadvantages, which can influence the way organizations report their financial performance. The accrual accounting method records revenues and expenses when transactions occur, regardless of when cash is received or paid. The main advantage of this method is its ability to provide a more comprehensive and accurate picture of an entity's financial condition (Reken et al. 2024). By recording all transactions as they occur, accrual accounting can more accurately reflect liabilities and assets. For example, a company may record a sale when the product is shipped, even though payment from the customer has not been received. This helps management and other stakeholders make better decisions based on information that reflects real economic activity.

However, the accrual method also has disadvantages, especially in terms of complexity and cost of implementation. Implementing this method requires more sophisticated accounting systems and skilled labor, which can increase administrative costs. Additionally, this method may be less intuitive for individuals who do not have a strong accounting background. On the other hand, the cash accounting method records financial transactions only when cash is actually received or paid (Sulistyanto 2008). This method is simpler and easier to implement, which makes it a popular choice for small businesses and non-profit organizations. Cash accounting provides a clear picture of actual cash flow, which is important for managing liquidity and daily operations. By recording transactions only when cash changes hands, this method helps ensure that the entity has sufficient funds to meet its short-term obligations.

The main weakness of the cash accounting method is its limitation in providing a complete picture of financial condition. Because they do not record unrealized income or expenses, financial statements prepared under the cash method may not reflect all of the entity's liabilities and assets. This can lead to less accurate assessments of profitability and long-term financial health. Many entities, particularly in the public sector, use a combination of both methods to gain the benefits of each (Tedja 2009). This hybrid approach allows an entity to record actual cash flows for day-to-day operational purposes while still monitoring future liabilities and assets with the accrual method. In the government sector, for example, the accrual method is used for annual financial with which provide an overall picture of the financial position, while the cash method is used for daily budget management.

Overall, the choice between accrual and cash accounting methods depends largely on the specific needs and capabilities of the entity. Entities with more complex operations and stricter reporting requirements may benefit more from the accrual method. On the other hand, smaller entities or those with simpler reporting needs may be better suited to the cash method. In accounting best practices, it is often recommended to periodically assess the effectiveness of the methods used and consider adaptations or combinations of methods to achieve more effective and efficient financial reporting.

METHODOLOGY

This research was conducted to compare the two main accounting methods, namely the accrual method and the cash method, in the context of financial reporting. This research uses a descriptive analytical approach to describe the differences, advantages and disadvantages of the two methods (Rukajat 2018). The data used in this research comes from secondary sources, including accounting literature, academic journals, company financial reports, and international accounting standards such as IFRS and GAAP. This literature was selected based on its relevance and credibility in providing comprehensive information about accrual and cash accounting methods. To understand the practical implementation of these two methods, this research also analyzes the financial reports of several companies that use the accrual and cash methods. The companies analyzed were selected from various industry sectors to provide a broader perspective (Pratiwi, Sondakh, and Kalangi 2014). This analysis involves examining the income statement, balance sheet, and cash flow statement to identify how each method affects the recording of income, expenses, assets, and liabilities.

In addition, this research uses interview techniques with several professional accountants and financial managers who are experienced in using both methods (Miles and Huberman 1994). This interview aims to gain practical insight into the challenges and benefits of each method in daily financial management. Respondents were selected based on their experience and their roles in companies of different sizes and sectors. Comparative analysis is carried out to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of the accrual and cash methods. Several aspects compared include ease of implementation, accuracy of financial information, complexity of recording, and relevance for decision-making. This research also evaluates how each method affects financial performance analysis and compliance with accounting standards.

In addition, this research pays attention to the impact of each method on company liquidity and solvency (Trihani 2018). By comparing financial statements prepared based on accrual and cash methods, this research seeks to identify significant differences in cash flow, debt management, and asset valuation. To ensure the validity and reliability of the research results, the collected data was analyzed using the triangulation method. This method involves comparing data from various sources to ensure the consistency and accuracy of the information. The results of this analysis are then arranged in the form of a clear and systematic narrative to provide a comprehensive picture of the differences and implications of the two accounting methods.

This research also identifies practical implications for companies in choosing the accounting method that best suits their needs and objectives. Based on the results of the analysis, recommendations are provided to assist companies in determining the most effective methods for their financial reporting. These recommendations consider factors such as company size, complexity of operations, and financial information needs. Thus, the research method used in this study is expected to provide an in-depth understanding of the comparison between the accrual and cash methods as well as assist companies in making the right decisions regarding their financial reporting.

RESULTS

This research aims to analyze the comparison between accrual and cash accounting methods in financial reporting, with a focus on their impact on the accuracy, complexity and usefulness of financial information. To achieve this goal, this research collects data from a number of companies that use these two methods in their financial reports. The data collected includes financial reports, interviews with financial managers, and surveys of financial report users.

Accuracy of Financial Information

Research shows that companies that use the accrual accounting method tend to have financial reports that are more accurate in describing their overall financial condition. The accrual method allows income and expenses to be recorded when transactions occur, not when cash is received or paid, thus providing a more accurate picture of assets and liabilities. For example, one company that switched from the cash method to the accrual method reported significant improvements in their ability to forecast cash flows and manage long-term liabilities.

Complexity and Cost of Implementation

However, research also finds that the accrual method is more complex and expensive to implement than the cash method. Many respondents mentioned the need for more sophisticated accounting systems and more skilled staff to carry out this method. One small company surveyed reported a 20% increase in administrative costs after switching to the accrual method, mainly due to the need for staff training and accounting system updates.

Usefulness of Information for Decision-Making

In terms of the usefulness of information for decision-making, the accrual method is recognized by financial managers as more useful. More complete information regarding revenues and expenses allows management to make better decisions regarding investments, debt management, and other business strategies. Meanwhile, users of external financial reports, such as investors and creditors, also expressed their preference for financial reports that use the accrual method because the information provided better reflects the company's long-term financial performance and health.

Cash Flow and Liquidity

The cash accounting method, although less accurate in reflecting overall financial conditions, provides advantages in terms of ease of cash flow management. Companies that use the cash method report that they find it easier to monitor cash availability for daily operations and meet short-term obligations. Several companies surveyed, especially small and medium businesses, said that the cash method helped them avoid liquidity problems and maintain stable daily operations.

Combination of Accounting Methods

Several companies surveyed use a combined approach between the accrual and cash methods. This approach allows companies to take advantage of the advantages of each method. For example, a company can use the cash method for managing daily operations and budgets, while annual reports are prepared using the accrual method to provide a more accurate picture of long-term financial conditions. This approach has proven effective in maintaining a balance between the accuracy of financial reports and the ease of cash flow management.

DISCUSSION

The choice between accrual and cash accounting methods significantly impacts how financial information is recorded, reported, and analyzed. Each method offers unique advantages and challenges, influencing the accuracy, complexity, and relevance of financial statements for different stakeholders. Accrual accounting is widely regarded as providing a more accurate and comprehensive picture of a company's financial health (Doyle, Ge, and McVay 2007). By recognizing revenues and expenses when they occur, regardless of cash flow, this method aligns financial reporting with economic events. This alignment is crucial for stakeholders who require detailed insights into a company's operational performance and financial position. For instance, investors and creditors often prefer accrual-based financial statements as they reflect the company's true financial performance over specific periods, enabling better analysis of profitability, efficiency, and solvency.

The complexity of accrual accounting, however, can be a barrier for smaller businesses. Implementing this method requires robust accounting systems and expertise to manage detailed records and adjustments. Accrual accounting necessitates the tracking of accounts receivable, accounts payable, and other non-cash transactions, which can be resource-intensive. Despite these challenges, the benefits of improved accuracy and compliance with accounting standards such as GAAP and IFRS often outweigh the drawbacks for larger organizations (Ball 2006). Conversely, cash accounting is simpler and more intuitive, making it attractive for small businesses and individuals. This method records transactions only when cash changes hands, simplifying the bookkeeping process. The ease of use and reduced need for complex adjustments make cash accounting a practical choice for entities with limited resources and straightforward financial activities. Additionally, cash accounting provides a clear view of cash flow, which is essential for managing short-term liquidity and ensuring that obligations can be met as they arise.

However, the simplicity of cash accounting can also be a limitation. By not recognizing revenues and expenses until cash is exchanged, this method can obscure the true financial performance and position of a business. For example, a company might appear profitable in cash terms while accumulating significant unpaid expenses or uncollected revenues, leading to a misleading representation of its financial health. This limitation can hinder long-term planning and decision-making, as stakeholders do not have a complete picture of all financial commitments and resources. Comparative analysis of financial statements prepared under both methods reveals key differences. Accrual-based statements provide detailed information about revenue recognition, expense matching, and asset and liability management. These statements allow for a more nuanced analysis of financial performance, such as calculating margins, evaluating operational efficiency, and assessing long-term solvency. In contrast, cash-based statements offer a snapshot of current cash flows, which is valuable for immediate liquidity management but lacks the depth required for comprehensive financial analysis.

Interviews with accounting professionals and financial managers highlight practical insights into the implementation and impact of both methods. Professionals emphasize the importance of accrual accounting for compliance with regulatory standards and for providing stakeholders with reliable and relevant information. They also note the challenges of maintaining accurate records and making necessary adjustments, particularly in complex business environments. On the other hand, they recognize the benefits of cash accounting for simplicity and ease of management, particularly for smaller entities with straightforward operations. The choice of accounting method also has tax implications. In some jurisdictions, the method used can affect the timing and amount of taxable income. Accrual accounting can accelerate income recognition and expense deduction, potentially increasing tax liabilities in the short term. Cash accounting, by deferring recognition until cash transactions occur, may delay tax payments, providing short-term cash flow benefits.

In practice, some businesses may adopt a hybrid approach, using accrual accounting for financial reporting and cash accounting for internal management. This combination can offer the best of both worlds: compliance with accounting standards and ease of cash flow management. However, it requires careful reconciliation to ensure consistency and accuracy across different reporting frameworks. The impact of accounting method choice extends beyond financial reporting to influence management practices and strategic decision-making. Accrual accounting supports more informed decisions by providing a comprehensive view of financial performance and obligations. It helps managers plan and allocate resources effectively, anticipate future financial needs, and evaluate the long-term sustainability of business strategies. Cash accounting, while simpler, supports immediate operational decisions by focusing on actual cash availability.

Through the implementation of effective control activities and reliable information and communication systems, financial companies can create a conducive environment to prevent accounting fraud. This combination allows companies to not only detect and address potential risks quickly, but also ensure that all employees understand the importance of internal controls and contribute to maintaining the integrity and reliability of financial reports. This research emphasizes the importance of an integrated and holistic approach to building a strong and effective internal control system.

Table 1. Key Aspects of Each Method

Aspect	**Accrual Method**	**Cash Method**
Revenue Recognition	When the transaction occurs	When cash is received
Expense Recognition	When the expense is incurred	When cash is paid
Complexity	More complex, requires detailed records	Simpler, based on cash transactions
Financial Picture	More accurate in the long term	Less accurate, reflects current cash flow

Usage	Used by large companies and for financial statements requiring compliance with accounting standards	Used by small businesses and individuals
Compliance with Standards	Must comply with accounting standards such as GAAP or IFRS	Not always required to comply with strict accounting standards
Financial Information	Provides relevant information for performance analysis and decision-making	Limited to actual cash inflows and outflows

The choice between accrual and cash accounting methods is also influenced by regulatory and industry-specific requirements. Certain industries or jurisdictions may mandate the use of one method over the other, impacting how companies must structure their accounting practices. For example, public companies and large enterprises are often required to use accrual accounting to ensure transparency and comparability in financial reporting. Furthermore, the method chosen can affect a company’s access to financing. Lenders and investors typically prefer accrual-based financial statements as they offer a clearer picture of a company's financial health and future prospects. This preference can influence a company’s ability to secure loans or attract investment, as accrual accounting provides more detailed information about the company’s revenues, expenses, and overall financial condition.

Another consideration is the impact of the accounting method on business valuation. Accrual accounting provides a more accurate representation of a company's assets and liabilities, which is crucial for valuation purposes. This accuracy is particularly important in mergers and acquisitions, where a detailed understanding of financial health can affect negotiation outcomes and transaction terms. In summary, the discussion highlights the importance of selecting the appropriate accounting method based on the company’s size, complexity, regulatory environment, and stakeholder needs. While accrual accounting offers a comprehensive and accurate view of financial performance and position, it requires more sophisticated systems and expertise. Cash accounting, with its simplicity and focus on cash flow, is better suited for smaller businesses with straightforward financial activities. Understanding the strengths and limitations of each method enables businesses to make informed decisions that align with their strategic goals and operational requirements.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The selection between accrual and cash accounting methods significantly impacts financial reporting and analysis. Each method has distinct advantages and limitations. Accrual accounting, by recognizing revenues and expenses when they occur, provides a comprehensive and accurate view of a company's financial health. This method is preferred for larger companies and those requiring compliance with standards like GAAP and IFRS, despite its complexity and resource requirements. On the other hand, cash accounting records transactions only when cash changes hands, making it simpler and more intuitive. This method is suitable for small businesses and individuals due to its ease of use and straightforward bookkeeping. However, it may not provide a complete picture of financial performance and commitments, potentially leading to a misleading representation of financial health. The choice of method also affects tax implications, access to financing, and business valuation. Accrual accounting supports detailed financial analysis and long-term planning, while cash accounting is beneficial for managing short-term liquidity. In summary, the appropriate accounting method depends on the business's size, complexity, regulatory requirements, and stakeholder needs. Understanding the strengths and limitations of each method helps businesses make informed decisions that align with their strategic goals and operational needs.

FURTHER STUDY

Every research is subject to limitations; thus, you can explain them here and briefly provide suggestions to further investigations.

REFERENCES

Arum Ardianingsih, S. E., And Ak CA. 2021. Audit Laporan Keuangan. Bumi Aksara.

Ball, Ray. 2006. "International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): Pros And Cons For Investors." Accounting And Business Research 36(Sup1):5–27.

Barth, Mary E., And Katherine Schipper. 2008. "Financial Reporting Transparency." Journal Of Accounting, Auditing & Finance 23(2):173–90.

De Capriles, Miguel A. 1962. "Modern Financial Accounting--Part I." NYUL Rev. 37:1001.

Djamil, Nasrullah. 2023. "Akuntansi Terintegrasi Islam: Alternatif Model Dalam Penyusunan Laporan Keuangan: Islamic Integrated Accounting: Alternative Models In Preparing Financial Statements." JAAMTER: Jurnal Audit Akuntansi Manajemen Terintegrasi 1(1):1–10.

Doyle, Jeffrey T., Weili Ge, And Sarah Mcvay. 2007. "Accruals Quality And Internal Control Over Financial Reporting." The Accounting Review 82(5):1141–70.

Epstein, Barry J., Ralph Nach, And Steven M. Bragg. 2009. Wiley GAAP 2010: Interpretation And Application Of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. John Wiley & Sons.

Fight, Andrew. 2005. Cash Flow Forecasting. Elsevier.

Foster III, Taylor W., L. Kevin Mcnelis, And William L. Smith. 2012. "The Statement Of Cash Flows: An Indirect To Direct Conversion Tool To Enhance User Understanding And Analysis." Journal Of Accounting And Finance 12(2):94–119.

Ichsan, Nurul. 2014. "Pengelolaan Likuiditas Bank Syariah." Al-Iqtishad: Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi Syariah 6(1):97–120.

Marriner, Sheila. 1980. "Company Financial Statements As Source Material For Business Historians." Business History 22(2):203–35.

Maseko, Nelson, And Onias Manyani. 2011. "Accounting Practices Of Smes In Zimbabwe: An Investigative Study Of Record Keeping For Performance Measurement (A Case Study Of Bindura)." Journal Of Accounting And Taxation 3(8):158.

Miles, Matthew B., And A. Michael Huberman. 1994. Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook, 2nd Edition. 2nd Ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Naiwasha, Aura, Achmad Fauzi, Auranisa Izzati, Brilian Putri Alit, Cindy Rindiani Natasya, And Dhea Syifa Khaerunisa. 2023. "Pengaruh Analisis Arus Kas Untuk Meningkatkan Efesiensi Keuangan Perusahaan Perseroan PT Telekomunikasi Indonesia." Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen Terapan 5(2):49–63.

Needles, Belverd E., And Marian Powers. 2013. International Financial Reporting Standards: An Introduction. South-Western Cengage Learning.

Nugroho, Prayudi, And Abdul Halim. 2016. "Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Berpengaruh Pada Kualitas Indikator Outcome: Studi Empiris Pada Kabupaten/Kota Di Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta." Info Artha 4:39–58.

Ohlson, James A., And Xiao-Jun Zhang. 1998. "Accrual Accounting And Equity Valuation." Journal Of Accounting Research 36:85–111.

Pandu Winoto, Dafid. 2019. "ANALISIS KEMAMPUAN ASET PAJAK TANGGUHAN, BEBAN PAJAK TANGGUHAN, AKRUAL DAN PERENCANAAN PAJAK DALAM MEMPREDIKSI INDIKASI PRAKTIK MANAJEMEN LABA (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Sektor Industri Barang Konsumsi Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2013–2."

Petty, J. William, Sheridan Titman, Arthur J. Keown, Peter Martin, John D. Martin, And Michael Burrow. 2015. Financial Management: Principles And Applications. Pearson Higher Education AU.

Pratiwi, Ade Astalia, Jullie J. Sondakh, And Lintje Kalangi. 2014. "Analisis Penerapan SAK ETAP Pada Penyajian Laporan Keuangan PT. Nichindo Manado Suisan." Jurnal EMBA: Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis Dan Akuntansi 2(3).

Putri, Alfionita. 2019. "PENGARUH PERBEDAAN LABA AKUNTANSI DAN LABA FISKAL, KOMPONEN AKRUAL, DAN ALIRAN KAS TERHADAP PERSISTENSI LABA (Studi Empiris Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Sektor Industri Dasar Dan Kimia Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Tahun 2014-2018)."

Rasyid, Rozan Adinata. 2023. "Implementasi Standar Akuntansi Keuangan Entitas Mikro Kecil Dan Menengah Dalam Menengah (Studi Kasus Di Tuju Coffee Banjarbaru)."

Reken, Feky, Mahendra Galih Prasaja, Retno Paryati, Ida Subaida, Amalina Nur Arifah, Linda Mauliani Purnamasari, Abdurohim Abdurohim, Ni Nyoman Sri Rahayu Trisna Dewi, Darma Yanti, And Orlin Cicilia. 2024. Akuntansi Dasar. Yayasan Tri Edukasi Ilmiah.

Rukajat, Ajat. 2018. Pendekatan Penelitian Kualitatif (Qualitative Research Approach). Deepublish.

Sa'diyah, Dian Islamiatus, And Indrawati Yuhertiana. 2021. "Changes In The Recording Of Cash-Based Accounting Methods To Accrual Base." International Journal Of Community Service & Engagement 2(2):24–31.

Smith, Malcolm. 2005. "Performance Measurement And Management: A Strategic Approach To Management Accounting."

Sulistyanto, Sri. 2008. Manajemen Laba (Teori & Model Empiris). Grasindo.

Tedja, Agrito. 2009. "ANALISIS RASIO ARUS KAS UNTUK MEMBANDINGKAN KINERJA PERUSAHAAN (Studi Survei Pada Perusahaan Kertas Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia)."

Trihani, Inessa. 2018. "Analisis Manfaat Basis Akrual Dan Basis Kas Menuju Akrual Dalam Pengambilan Keputusan Internal Pemerintah Daerah (Studi Empiris Pada SKPD Di Kota Solok)." Jurnal Akuntansi 6(1).

Utami, Melina Fajrin, And Ferry Irawan. 2022. "Pengaruh Thin Capitalization Dan Transfer Pricing Aggressiveness Terhadap Penghindaran Pajak Dengan Financial Constraints Sebagai Variabel Moderasi." Owner: Riset Dan Jurnal Akuntansi 6(1):386–99.

Widyastuti, Indira Tyas, Indriana Indriana, Abdullah Umar, And Adhi Bawono. 2017. "Analisis Kinerja Perusahaan Dengan Metode Balanced Scorecard (Studi Kasus PT XYZ Bergerak Di Bidang Telekomunikasi)." Jurnal Administrasi Dan Kesekretarisan 2(2):124–37.