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ABSTRACT
The purpose of this study is to ascertain how PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk's financial distress conditions are impacted by the debt to asset ratio (DAR) and total assets turnover (TATO). The research methodology employed in this study is quantitative descriptive, meaning that the issues the organization faces are discussed together with a financial situational analysis given in numerical form. The financial ratios under investigation include the activity ratio, which is proxied by total asset turnover (TATO), and the solvency ratio, which is proxied by debt to asset ratio (DAR). Secondary data from PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk's income statement and balance sheet was utilized. The partial calculation of the analysis's data indicates that the Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) has a substantial negative effect on financial hardship, with a significance value of 0.021 < 0.05 and a table (-2.974 > -2.365). Financial hardship is significantly positively influenced by Total Assets Turnover (TATO) when calculate > ttable (3.229 > 2.365) with a significance value (0.014 < 0.05). Then, based on the test coefficient of determination (R Square) of 0.905, analyze concurrently Fcalculate > Ftable (33.295 > 4.74) with a significance value (0.000 > 0.05), indicating that there is a simultaneous significant effect between Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) and Total Assets Turnover (TATO) on financial distress. This result indicates a 90% percentage, with other factors influencing the remaining 10%.
INTRODUCTION
Research Background
The automobile sector faces intense competition in this age of globalization, as the market for battery-powered, environmentally friendly vehicles or electrification grows. The IMF projects that economic growth would decline sharply from 6.1 percent in 2021 to 3.2 percent in 2022 and 2.9 percent in 2023 due to the global economy's fierce competitiveness. Global company operations were impacted by the crisis, and some even filed for bankruptcy, such as businesses with locations in Indonesia, Asia, and Western Europe. For Indonesian businesses, the global economic crisis has created a number of challenges. Corporate limitations may make it difficult for the business to continue operating or successful in doing so. Financial troubles, or what is commonly referred to as financial hardship, can be an indicator of company collapse. [https://www.kominfo.go.id](https://www.kominfo.go.id) is the source.

Formulation of the Problem
Based on the background stated above, the author provides the following problem formulation:
1. Is there an effect of Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) on financial distress?
2. Is there an effect of Total Assets Turnover (TATO) on financial distress?
3. Is there a simultaneous effect of Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) dan Total Assets Turnover (TATO) on financial distress?

LITERATURE REVIEW
Management
Financial Management
Understanding Financial Management
"Financial management is planning, organizing, directing, and controlling financial activities such as procurement and utilization of business funds," state Purba et al. (2021: 114). Meanwhile, financial management is described by Irfani (2020: 11) as "business financial management activities related to searches for and effective uses of funds to achieve company objectives."

Debt To Asset Ratio (DAR)
Debt To Asset Ratio (DAR) Definition
The debt to asset ratio (DAR), according to Brigham and Huston (2019: 135) "is a ratio used to quantify the degree of debt utilization to the total assets possessed. greater debt utilized to purchase assets will result in greater loan interest paid by the business, which will be problematic since it will reduce the amount of profit that can be made."

Factors Affecting Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR)
"Factors that affects the debt to asset ratio (DAR), among others" include the following, according to Hery (2017: 295):

a. Creditors see the debtor's equity as a margin of security. A low capitalization ratio of the debtor firm implies a high risk for the creditor.
b. The debtor will continue to have control over the business if debt loans are the source of funding.

c. The proceeds from the issuing and sale of shares will provide shareholders power over the business, if not outright control.

d. The surplus will boost returns if the firm makes more money than it borrows and the interest it must pay creditors.

Total Asset Turnover (TATO)  
Understanding Total Asset Turnover (TATO)  
Utilizing Total Asset Turnover (TATO) to calculate the activity ratio, "Total assets turnover is comparison between sales and total assets of a company where the ratio it describes the speed of turnover of total assets in a period certain," states Syamsudi in Azizah (2018: 20). "Total asset turnover is a ratio that measures the turnover of all company assets, and is calculated by divide sales by total assets," state Brigham and Houston (2015: 139).

Factors Affecting Total Asset Turnover (TATO)  
Some factors that affect total asset turnover (TATO) are:

a. Financial ratios, for example sales:
1) Seller's Conditions and Capabilities
2) Market Conditions
3) Capital
4) Organizational Conditions of the Company
b. Total assets consisting of:
   a. Current Asset
      • Cash
      • Marketable securities
      • Account Receivable (accounts receivable)
      • Inventories
   b. Fixed Asset
      • Land & building
      • Machine

Financial Distress  
Understanding Financial Distress
When a business is in financial hardship, it means it cannot fulfill its long-term and short-term commitments. "Financial distress is a stage of deteriorating financial condition that occurs before bankruptcy or liquidation," claim Platt and Platt, referenced in (Fahmiwati, et al, 2017:90).

Financial Distress Indicators
Financial hardship may be caused by a number of factors, according to Fahmi (2013:164).

a. One of these factors is firm debt in an excessive leverage situation, which puts the company's debt in jeopardy.

b. The substantial quantity of debt and several bills that are due, such as trade debt, business partner loans, bank loans, leasing, and past-due bond
interest payments that need to be made as soon as possible, in addition to other commitments.

c. The corporation has lost money both now and in the future as a result of implementing the wrong strategic plans.

d. The corporation can no longer be stabilized by asset ownership alone since too many assets have been sold, and even if the remaining assets are sold, it still won't be enough.

e. Profits and sales have declined both consistently and irregularly.

f. The business frequently takes out short-term loans from several creditors
g. Company management has been known to perpetrate fraud in the past, including corruption and giving investors and shareholders misleading information.

h. Unexpected shifts in consumer preferences lead to a loss of customers and a drop in income. To counteract this, businesses must continuously predict client demands and develop goods that meet those needs.

i. In order to compete with other businesses in satisfying client wants, organizations must constantly develop themselves due to the fiercely competitive nature of the business world. Companies must always improve the quality of their products to provide customers with greater value in light of the fiercer competition.

j. A bad connection with creditors can also be harmful to the existence of the business. In particular, Law No. 4 of 1998 allows creditors to force a corporation into bankruptcy. The business must manage its debts effectively and cultivate positive relationships with creditors in order to foresee this.

k. Companies must also constantly predict the state of the global economy, with the economy becoming more intertwined with other nations.

H1: The Debt to Asset Ratio has a negative impact on financial distress.
H2: Total Asset Turnover has a positive impact on financial distress.
H3: Debt to Asset Ratio and Total Asset Turnover both affect financial distress.

METHODOLOGY

Types of Research
This study employed quantitative research using a descriptive methodology. One sort of study whose requirements are methodical, premeditated, and well-structured from the outset to the creation of the research design is quantitative research methodologies. The source of the quantitative research is Sugiyono (2019: 16). When doing research on particular populations or samples, quantitative research methods can be seen as positivist research methodologies. To test established hypotheses, quantitative data analysis and data collecting employing research instruments are employed."

Population
Sugiyono (2019: 126) states, "A population is a broad category made up of items or subjects with certain numbers and attributes chosen by researchers to be investigated and conclusions made from. The Financial Statements of PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk, which have been posted on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the years 2012 through 2021, are the population being discussed here."
Sample
According to Sugiyono (2019: 127) "Samples are part of the number and characteristics of the population. So the samples used in this study are the statement of financial position (balance sheet) and income statement at PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk. with the period 2012 - 2021."

Altman Z-Score Model Analysis
According to Rudianto (2013:255), the ratios used in Altman Z-Score are as follows:
- a. Working Capital to Total Assets
- b. Retained Earnings to Total Assets
- c. Earnings Before Interest and Tax (EBIT) to Total Assets
- d. Market Value of Equity to Book value of Debt
- e. Sales to Total Assets

Descriptive Statistical Analysis
When analysing data, descriptive statistics are used to describe or depict the data as it was obtained, with no intention of making broad generalisations or inferences. Sugiyono, 2019, p. 206 The purpose of this analysis is to give a summary or variable-based description of the data using metrics like mean, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation. Important numerical metrics for sample data are presented using descriptive statistics, which facilitates readers' contextual understanding.

Normality Test
The One Sample Kolmogorov Smirnov Test is the model used to detect normality in this study. Ghozali (2018:161) defines the normality test as a test that functions to examine whether or not the data in the independent variable and dependent variable in regression equations are normally distributed.

Partial Significance Test (t-test)
The degree to which a single independent variable contributes to the statistical explanation of a dependent variable's fluctuation is demonstrated by the t-test. (Ghozali, 2017:57) The effect of growth prospects, cash conversion cycles, capital expenditures, and institutional ownership in explaining individual variances in cash holdings is evaluated using the t-test. The following criteria apply to the decision-making t-test:
1. Ho is rejected and Ha is approved if the computed value of t is greater than ttable. This indicates that there is a relationship between the independent and dependent variables.
2. Ho is approved and Ha is denied if the computed value of t is greater than ttable. This indicates that there is no relationship between the independent and dependent variables.

Simultaneous Significance (Test F)
To find out if all of the independent variables in the model together have an effect on the dependent variable, apply the F statistic test. This test, which looks at whether Y is linearly connected to X1 and X2, is sometimes referred to as
the overall significance test for the regression line (Ghozali, 2017:56). The following are the conditions in the F test that are utilised to make decisions:

1. The alternative hypothesis (Ha) is accepted and the null hypothesis (Ho) is rejected if the F count is greater than the F table. This indicates that the dependent variable is greatly influenced by all of the independent factors together.
2. The null hypothesis (Ho) is accepted and the alternative hypothesis (Ha) is rejected if the F count > F table. This indicates that there is no discernible relationship between the independent factors and the dependent variable.

RESEARCH RESULTS
Altman Z-Score Model Analysis

Table 1. Altman Z-score Model Ratio Analysis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
<th>X4</th>
<th>X5</th>
<th>Z-Score</th>
<th>Kategori</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.1211</td>
<td>0.2455</td>
<td>0.3518</td>
<td>0.3113</td>
<td>0.9754</td>
<td>2.0052</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.1812</td>
<td>0.2073</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.2498</td>
<td>0.8031</td>
<td>1.4750</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.1408</td>
<td>0.2042</td>
<td>0.0791</td>
<td>0.2258</td>
<td>0.8091</td>
<td>1.4591</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.1183</td>
<td>0.1709</td>
<td>-0.0589</td>
<td>0.1870</td>
<td>0.7393</td>
<td>1.1566</td>
<td>Financial Distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>0.1217</td>
<td>0.1885</td>
<td>0.1372</td>
<td>0.1912</td>
<td>0.7277</td>
<td>1.3662</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0.1092</td>
<td>0.1948</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>0.1911</td>
<td>0.7761</td>
<td>1.2895</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0.1046</td>
<td>0.1763</td>
<td>-0.0135</td>
<td>0.1784</td>
<td>0.7772</td>
<td>1.2230</td>
<td>Financial Distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>0.1018</td>
<td>0.1964</td>
<td>0.0754</td>
<td>0.2075</td>
<td>0.8436</td>
<td>1.4248</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0.1159</td>
<td>0.2261</td>
<td>0.0832</td>
<td>0.2635</td>
<td>0.7540</td>
<td>1.4428</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>0.1399</td>
<td>0.2201</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
<td>0.2549</td>
<td>0.8300</td>
<td>1.4596</td>
<td>Grey Area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The financial standing of PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk may be ascertained in accordance with Altman’s criteria by using the Altman Z-Score computation above. The following requirements apply:
1. Z > 29 signifies that the business is in good standing and is not going through financial difficulties.
2. If 123 < Z < 29, the business is either in jeopardy or in a grey region.
3. A value of Z < 123 suggests that the business is having financial difficulties.

Descriptive Statistical Analysis

Table 2. Descriptive Statistical Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descriptive Statistics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAR (X1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.65262</td>
<td>0.014911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATO (X2)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.80517</td>
<td>0.01374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Distress (Y)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>2.013</td>
<td>1.43665</td>
<td>0.20666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The following is a description of the variable debt to asset ratio (DAR): the debt to asset ratio (DAR) has the following values: the minimum is 0.574;
the maximum is 0.702; the average is 0.65262; and the standard deviation is 0.041911.

2. The minimum value of the total asset turnover (TATO) variable is 0.729, the maximum value is 0.977, the average value is 0.80517, and the standard deviation is 0.071374.

3. Based on the available data, the financial distress variables are as follows: the standard deviation of financial distress is 0.230666, the average financial distress value is 1.43665, the highest financial distress value is 2.013, and the minimum financial distress value is 1.162.

Normality Test

Table 3. Kolmogorov-Smirnov Normality Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unstandardized Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Parameters&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mean .000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Std. Deviation .07114175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Extreme Differences</td>
<td>Absolute .227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive .227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative -.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Statistic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Test distribution is Normal.

<sup>b</sup> Calculated from data.

<sup>c</sup> Lilliefs Significance Correction.

From the above output, it can be determined that the significance value of Asymp Sig (2-tailed) is 0.156 Therefore, it can be said that the tested residual data is normally distributed because the Kolmogorov-Smirnov significance value is greater than 0.05, which is (0.156 > 0.05).

Multicollinearity Test

Table 4. Test Results for Multicollinearity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Collinearity Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td>.955</td>
<td>.955</td>
<td>1.905</td>
<td>.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAR (X1)</td>
<td>-2.713</td>
<td>.912</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-2.974</td>
<td>.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TATO (X2)</td>
<td>1.730</td>
<td>.538</td>
<td>.538</td>
<td>3.229</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Dependent Variable: FINANCIAL DISTRESS (Y)

The result above indicates that the VIF value is 2.023, which is less than 10 (2.023 < 10) and the tolerance value for the independent variable X1 is 0.494, which is larger than 0.10 (0.494 > 0.10). For the independent variable X2, the tolerance value is also 0.494 > 0.10 (0.494 > 0.10), and the VIF value is 2.023, which is less than 10 (2.023 < 10). Thus, it may be said that all independent variables (X1 and X2) do not exhibit multicollinearity.
Autocorrelation Test

Table 5. Durbin Watson Autocorrelation Test Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.080590</td>
<td>2.139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the output above, it can be determined from the Durbin-Watson test results for $n = 10$ and $k = 2$ that:

dL = 0.6972

dU = 1.6413

$4 - dL = 3.3028$

$4 - dU = 2.3587$

The result is that $dU < d < 4 - dU$ ($1.6413 < 2.139 < 2.3587$), meaning that the null hypothesis is accepted and there is no autocorrelation.

Partial Significance Test (t-test)

Table 6. Partial Significance Test Results (Test t)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td>.952</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAR (X1)</td>
<td>-2.713</td>
<td>.912</td>
<td>-2.974</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATO (X2)</td>
<td>1.710</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>3.229</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following conclusions can be drawn from the t-test results above:

1. The partial test result of the variable (DAR) indicates that, at a significance level of 0.021, which is less than 0.05 ($0.021 < 0.05$), the calculated $t$-value of $-2.974$ is greater than the tabulated $t$-value of $-2.365$ ($-2.974 > -2.365$). Thus, it may be said that $H_2$ is accepted, suggesting that the variable (DAR) has a considerable negative impact on financial hardship.

2. The computed $t$-value of $3.229$ is shown in the partial test results for the variable (TATO), and it is higher than the tabulated $t$-value of $2.365$ ($3.229 > 2.365$). At $0.014$, the significance value is less than 0.05 ($0.014 < 0.05$). Thus, it may be said that $H_1$ is accepted, indicating that the variable (TATO) significantly positively influences financial hardship.
Simultaneous Significance (Test F)

From the table above, it can be seen that the $F_{\text{count}}$ of 3,3295 is greater than the tabled $F_{\text{value}}$ of 4,74 ($3,3295 > 4,74$) with a significance value of 0.000, which means that the statistical F value is less than 0.05 Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a significant simultaneous effect between the independent variables and the dependent variable.

Coefficient of Determination ($R^2$)

The result of the coefficient of determination analysis yielded an $R^2$ value of 0.905 This result can be concluded that the amount of variation in the independent variables influencing the regression equation model is 90%, while the remaining 10% is influenced by other factors not included in the regression model.

DISCUSSION

The Influence of Debt to Asset Ratio ($X_1$) on Financial Distress ($Y$)

The results of the solvency ratio measured using the Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) have a calculated $t$-value of -2.974, which is greater than the table $t$-value of -2.365 (-2.974 > -2.365), and the significance value of the Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) is 0.021, which is less than 0.05 ($0.021 < 0.05$) based on the hypothesis test using partial test ($t$-test). Thus, it can be said that financial hardship is significantly impacted negatively by the debt to asset ratio (DAR).

The Influence of Total Asset Turnover ($X_2$) on Financial Distress ($Y$)

The activity ratio measured using Total Asset Turnover (TATO) has a calculated $t$-value of 3.229, which is greater than the critical $t$-value of 2.365 ($3.229 > 2.365$). The significance value is 0.014, which is less than 0.05 ($0.014 < 0.05$) based on the hypothesis test using partial test ($t$-test). Thus, it may be concluded that $H_1$ is accepted, suggesting that the Total Asset Turnover (TATO) has a noteworthy positive impact on financial distress.
The Influence of Debt to Asset Ratio (X1) and Total Asset Turnover (X2) on Financial Distress (Y)

The study's findings demonstrate that the Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) and Total Asset Turnover (TATO) both affect financial distress at the same time. This is demonstrated by utilising the simultaneous test (F-test) for hypothesis testing, where the computed F value of 3.3295, with a significance level of 0.000 (F statistic < 0.05), is more than the crucial F value of 4.74 \((3.3295 > 4.74)\). Thus, it can be said that the Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) and Total Asset Turnover (TATO) have a major simultaneous impact on financial distress.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this study was to ascertain how well the debt to asset ratio (DAR) and total asset turnover (TATO) predicted PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk's financial crisis between 2012 and 2021. Multiple linear regression analysis was employed in this investigation. The Altman Z-Score algorithm was utilised to approximate the dependent variable of financial distress. The following is the research's conclusion, based on the analysis and debate that have been presented:

1. PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk's financial hardship is significantly impacted negatively by a partial debt to asset ratio (DAR) between 2012 and 2021. This result was achieved using a partial test (t-test), where the Debt to Asset Ratio (DAR) has a significance value of 0.021, which is less than 0.05 \((0.021 < 0.05)\) and the computed t-value of -2.974 is more than the tabular t-value of -2.365 \((-2.974 > -2.365)\). Consequently, the alternative hypothesis \( (Ha) \) is accepted and the null hypothesis \( (H0) \) is rejected.

2. PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk's financial crisis is significantly improved by partial total asset turnover (TATO) between 2012 and 2021. With a computed t-value of 3.229, which is higher than the crucial t-value of 2.365 \((3.229 > 2.365)\), and a significance value of 0.014, which is less than 0.05 \((0.014 < 0.05)\), this result was produced via a partial test (t-test). Consequently, the alternative hypothesis \( (Ha) \) is accepted and the null hypothesis \( (H0) \) is rejected.

3. Total asset turnover (TATO) and the debt to asset ratio (DAR) both have a major impact on financial distress at the same time. The simultaneous test (F test) findings demonstrate that the statistical F value is less than 0.05 because the computed F value of 3.3295 is more than the tabular F value of 4.74 \((3.3295 > 4.74)\), with a significance value of 0.000. The average z-score for PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk from 2012 to 2021 is 1.43, according to Altman's z-score methodology, indicating that the business is in a susceptible (grey) category.
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