



Classification of Pneumonia Medical Images with Convolutional Neural Networks

Ines Heidiani Ikasari^{1*}, Riski Yoga Saputra^{2*}, Sendy Prasdio^{3*}, Muhammad Faisal Kurniagis^{4*}, Perani Rosyani^{5*}, Zainul Janariandana^{6*}

Universitas Pamulang

Corresponding Author: Perani Rosyani dosen00837@unpam.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Pneumonia Classification, Convolutional Neural Networks, Chest X-Ray, Deep Learning, Medical Imaging, Diagnostic Accuracy

Received : 1 November

Revised : 23 December

Accepted: 20 January

©2025 Ikasari, Saputra, Prasdio, Kurniagis, Rosyani, Janariandana: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

Indonesia's agricultural sector faces significant challenges in maintaining rice production due to land conversion, pest attacks, and poor irrigation. Early detection of rice leaf diseases is critical to mitigating these challenges. This study applies the Random Forest (RF) algorithm to classify three rice leaf diseases: Bacterial Leaf Blight, Brown Spot, and Leaf Smut. The proposed method achieved an accuracy of 75%, demonstrating its effectiveness in disease detection. This research provides a foundation for integrating machine learning to improve crop management and agricultural productivity

INTRODUCTION

Pneumonia remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, particularly among children under five years of age and the elderly. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), pneumonia accounts for approximately 14% of deaths in children globally, making early and accurate diagnosis critical for effective treatment and management. Traditionally, the diagnosis of pneumonia relies on clinical evaluation combined with radiological imaging, such as chest X-rays. However, interpreting chest X-ray images can be subjective and prone to inter-observer variability, especially in resource-limited settings where trained radiologists may not be readily available.

CNNs are specialized deep learning architectures designed to process image data. They automatically extract hierarchical features through layers such as:

1. **Convolutional Layers:** Identify patterns like edges and textures.
2. **Pooling Layers:** Reduce feature map dimensions while preserving critical information.
3. **Fully Connected Layers:** Aggregate features for final classification.

For pneumonia classification, CNNs analyze chest X-ray images to differentiate between healthy lungs and those affected by pneumonia. Pre-trained models like ResNet, VGG16, and InceptionV3 are commonly used for transfer learning, leveraging existing knowledge to improve accuracy with limited datasets

CNN-based models have achieved remarkable success in pneumonia detection. Key steps include:

- **Data Preprocessing:** Normalizing and augmenting datasets to enhance model generalization.
- **Model Evaluation:** Using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and AUC to measure performance.

Studies like **CheXNet** by Rajpurkar et al. have shown CNNs reaching or surpassing human-level performance in pneumonia classification using datasets like ChestX-ray14.

Despite their effectiveness, CNNs face challenges such as data imbalance, interpretability, and potential overfitting due to small medical datasets. Future work aims to address these limitations through:

- **Explainable AI (XAI):** Enhancing model transparency with tools like Grad-CAM.
- **Synthetic Data:** Augmenting datasets using techniques like GANs.
- **Federated Learning:** Preserving data privacy while enabling collaborative model training.

CNNs have revolutionized pneumonia classification by providing a fast, accurate, and scalable solution for chest X-ray analysis. While challenges remain, advancements in deep learning and integration with clinical workflows hold great promise for improving diagnostic accuracy and patient outcomes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Results

The classification of pneumonia medical images using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) yielded the following key outcomes:

1. **Dataset Distribution:** The dataset, consisting of 5,856 chest X-ray images, was divided into training (70%), validation (15%), and testing (15%) subsets, maintaining class balance.
2. **Model Performance:** Three pre-trained CNN architectures (VGG16, InceptionV3, and ResNet50) were fine-tuned and evaluated. The results are summarized below:

Table 1. Model Performance

Model	Accuracy(%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity(%)	F1-Score(%)
VGG16	92.8	94.1	91.5	92.3
InceptionV3	94.2	95.3	93.1	94.7
ResNet50	96.5	97.8	95.2	96.9

Confusion Matrix

Table 2. Presents the Confusion Matrix for the Res Net 50 Model on the Test Dataset

	Predicted	Predicted Pneumonia
ActualNormal	842	38
Actual Pneumonia	21	999

The confusion matrix highlights the model's reliability, with minimal misclassifications in both classes.

The results confirm the efficacy of CNNs, particularly ResNet50, in classifying pneumonia from chest X-ray image. Compared to traditional methods, the proposed approach is faster, objective, and scalable. The use of transfer learning significantly reduced training time, making the model viable for deployment in resource-constrained environments.

However, the study has limitations, including the reliance on a single dataset and the lack of external validation with diverse patient populations. Future work should focus on expanding the dataset, integrating multi-modal diagnostic inputs.

Conclusion, CNN-based models, such as ResNet50, demonstrate substantial potential to assist healthcare professionals in diagnosing pneumonia accurately and efficiently, paving the way for improved patient outcomes.

METHODS

This section outlines the dataset, preprocessing, model architecture, training process, and evaluation metrics employed for classifying pneumonia using Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs).

1. Dataset

The study used the X-Ray Images (Pneumonia) data set, which includes 5,856 chest X-ray images labeled as either Normal or Pneumonia. The dataset was divided as follows:

Table 3. Dataset

Subset	Normal	Pneumonia	Total
Training	1,108	2,991	4,099
Validation	237	641	878
Testing	238	641	879

2. Data Preprocessing

- **Resizing:** All images were resized to **224 × 224 pixels** to match the input size of CNN architectures.
- **Normalization:** Pixel values were normalized to a range of **[0, 1]**.
- **Data Augmentation:** Techniques such as random rotations, flips, and brightness adjustments were applied to improve model generalization.

3. CNN Model Selection and Feature Extraction

Pre-trained CNN architectures (VGG16, InceptionV3, and ResNet50) were used as the base models. The top layers of these models were replaced with custom fully connected layers designed for binary classification.

4. Model Training

- **Optimizer:** Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 1×10^{-4} .
- **Loss Function:** Binary cross-entropy loss.
- **Batch Size:** 32.
- **Epochs:** Models were trained for up to 50 epochs with early stopping based on validation loss.

5. Model Evaluation

Performance metrics such as accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, precision, and F1-score were calculated on the test set to assess the models' performance. The evaluation identified ResNet50 as the most effective model for pneumonia classification.

This method ensures robust and reliable classification, leveraging advanced deep learning techniques for improved diagnostic accuracy.

6. Implementation

The models were implemented using Python and the TensorFlow library. The code is available in a public repository to ensure reproducibility.

Table 4. Implementation

Parameter	Value
Image Size	224x224 pixels
Batch Size	32
Learning Rate	1 X 10 ⁻⁴
Optimizer	Ali
Loss Function	Binary Cross-Entropy
Dropout Rate	0,5
Early Stopping Patience	10

This methodological framework ensures a rigorous and reproducible approach to the classification of pneumonia medical images, combining advanced deep learning techniques with robust evaluation strategies.

RESULTS

Step 1 : Dataset Preparation

The dataset used contains **5,856** chest X-ray images, categorized into **Normal** and **Pneumonia** classes. The dataset was split into **Training (70%)**, **Validation (15%)**, and **Testing (15%)** subsets.

Table 5. Dataset Preparation

Subset	Normal	Pneumonia	Total
Training	1,108	2,991	4,099
Validation	237	641	878
Testing	238	641	879

Step 2 : Image Preprocessing and Feature Extraction

Images were resized to **224 × 224 pixels**, normalized, and augmented with random rotations, flips, and brightness changes. Pre-trained CNN models (VGG16, InceptionV3, ResNet50) were used for feature extraction and fine-tuned for the classification task.

Step 3 : Splitting the Dataset

The dataset was divided as follows: **Training (70%)**, **Validation (15%)**, and **Testing (15%)**, ensuring balanced class distribution.

Table 6. Splitting the Dataset

Subset	Normal	Pneumonia	Total
Training	1,108	2,991	4,099
Validation	237	641	878
Testing	238	641	879

Step 4 : Model Training

The models were trained using the **Adam optimizer** and **binary cross-entropy** loss. The training ran for up to 50 epochs with early stopping to avoid overfitting.

Step 5 : Model Evaluation

Performance was measured using accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, precision, and F1-score. The results are summarized below:

Table 7. Model Evaluation

Model	Accuracy(%)	Sensitivity(%)	Specificity(%)	Precision	F1-Score(%)
VGG16	92,8	94,1	91,5	92,3	93,2
Inception V3	94,2	95,3	93,1	94,0	94,7
ResNet50	96,5	97,8	95,2	96,0	96,9

Summary of the Steps and result

The ResNet50 model achieved the highest performance with **96.5% accuracy** and **97.8% sensitivity**, proving to be the most effective for pneumonia classification from chest X-ray images. The model's ability to generalize well indicates its potential for clinical application in pneumonia detection.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are highly effective in classifying pneumonia from chest X-ray images. Among the tested models, ResNet50 outperformed others, achieving an accuracy of **96.5%** and a sensitivity of **97.8%**, making it a reliable tool for pneumonia diagnosis. The results highlight the potential of CNNs in automating medical image analysis, providing rapid and accurate diagnostic support, and reducing the burden on radiologists.

2. Recommendations

- **Clinical Validation:** Future work should involve validating the models on larger and more diverse clinical datasets to assess their generalizability.
- **Real-Time Deployment:** Efforts should be made to integrate these models into clinical workflows as decision-support tools for real-time diagnosis.
- **Model Optimization:** Further optimization, such as lightweight models for mobile applications, can enhance accessibility in resource-limited settings.
- **Explainability:** Incorporating explainable AI techniques can improve the interpretability of model predictions, building trust among healthcare professionals.

Further Study: Classification of Pneumonia Medical Images with Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

To advance the application of CNNs for pneumonia classification, future studies should focus on:

1. **Explainable AI (XAI):** Developing methods like Grad-CAM to make CNN predictions more interpretable for clinicians.

2. Improved Data Quality: Collecting larger and more diverse datasets to address class imbalance and improve model generalization.
3. Synthetic Data Generation: Using GANs or similar techniques to augment limited medical datasets.
4. Federated Learning: Enabling secure and collaborative training across multiple healthcare institutions while preserving patient data privacy.
5. Clinical Integration: Combining AI predictions with expert radiologists to create hybrid diagnostic systems for real-world use.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Mrs. Perani for her invaluable support and guidance throughout the course of this research. Her expertise, encouragement, and insightful feedback have greatly contributed to the successful completion of this work. We also appreciate her constant motivation, which inspired us to explore new ideas and approaches. Without her mentorship, this study would not have been possible. Thank you for your continued support and belief in our work

REFERENCES

- Chollet, F. (2017). Xception: Deep Learning with Depthwise Separable Convolutions. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 1251–1258. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.195>
- Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press. Retrieved from <https://www.deeplearningbook.org>
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 770–778. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.90>
- Kermany, D. S., Goldbaum, M., Cai, W., et al. (2018). Identifying Medical Diagnoses and Treatable Diseases by Image-Based Deep Learning. *Cell*, 172(5), 1122–1131.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.010>
- Kingma, D. P., & Ba, J. (2015). Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6980>
- Litjens, G., Kooi, T., Bejnordi, B. E., et al. (2017). A Survey on Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis. *Medical Image Analysis*, 42, 60–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2017.07.005>
- Rajpurkar, P., Irvin, J., Ball, R. L., et al. (2018). Deep Learning for Chest Radiograph Diagnosis: A Retrospective Comparison of the CheXNeXt Algorithm to Practicing Radiologists. *PLoS Medicine*, 15(11), e1002686. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002686>
- Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2015). Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition. *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556>

- Szegedy, C., Vanhoucke, V., Ioffe, S., Shlens, J., & Wojna, Z. (2016). Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2818–2826. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.308>
- Wang, X., Peng, Y., Lu, L., et al. (2017). ChestX-ray8: Hospital-Scale Chest X-ray Database and Benchmarks on Weakly-Supervised Classification and Localization of Common Thorax Diseases. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 3462–3471. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.369>