

## Social Loafing: Understanding, Mitigating, and Enhancing Group Performance

Belal Dahiam Saif Ghaleb  
Sakarya University

Corresponding Author: Belal Dahiam Saif Ghaleb [ghalebbelal27@gmail.com](mailto:ghalebbelal27@gmail.com)

---

### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:* Social Loafing, Group Performance, Personal Involvement, Reward Systems, Lean-Kanban, Team Expectations Agreements, Self-Evaluation

*Received :* 16 July

*Revised :* 10 August

*Accepted:* 12 September

©2024 Ghaleb: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



### ABSTRACT

Social loafing, a phenomenon in which individuals expend less effort in group activities compared to working alone, significantly affects team performance in a variety of contexts. This article explores the complex reasons behind social loafing, its harmful effects, and offers workable solutions to reduce its negative impact. Drawing on an extensive literature review and empirical studies, we examine key contributing factors such as personal involvement, reward systems, individual characteristics, and organizational contexts. We further explore practical strategies to address social loafing and increase group productivity, such as Lean Kanban models, team expectations agreements, and self-assessment techniques. Our findings underscore the importance of specific interventions to combat social loafing and foster a more collaborative and productive group dynamic.

## INTRODUCTION

Social loafing behavior was first defined by Ringelmann (1913) as the tendency for individuals in a group to decrease their productivity and entered the literature with the concept of "Ringelmann Effect". In the experiments of Ringelmann, who carried out the first studies in the field of social loafing, employees in groups of different sizes were told to pull a rope with all their strength. It was tried to find out how much power they consumed by measuring the lengthening and shortening of the rope. Thus, Ringelmann determined that as the number of individuals in groups increased, the total performance gradually decreased. He named this inverse relationship between effort spent and group size as the "Ringelmann Effect" [1]

Karau and Williams (1993) have identified several key factors that significantly influence social loafing behavior. These factors include the potential for evaluation, the performance expectations held by colleagues, the meaningfulness of the task, and cultural influences. They argue that when individuals believe their contributions are being evaluated, they are less likely to engage in social loafing. Similarly, if colleagues hold high expectations for one's performance, individuals are more likely to exert effort to meet those expectations. The perceived meaningfulness of a task also plays a crucial role; tasks perceived as more meaningful are likely to see higher individual contributions. Cultural factors can further influence social loafing, with certain cultures placing more emphasis on group harmony and collective effort, thus reducing the likelihood of social loafing [2].

Simms and Nichols (2014) add that other circumstances, such as increased group size, can also contribute to social loafing. Larger groups often reduce the visibility of individual contributions, making it easier for members to hide their lack of effort. Additionally, the extent to which an individual perceives themselves as unique compared to other group members can impact their likelihood of engaging in social loafing; individuals who see themselves as less unique may feel less compelled to contribute. Fatigue is another contributing factor; tired individuals are more prone to reduce their effort. In some cases, even gender has been noted as a variable influencing social loafing behaviors [3].

Cherry (2018) outlines several reasons why social loafing may occur:

**Motivation:** The level of motivation a person has can significantly determine the likelihood of social loafing. Individuals who are less motivated by the task at hand are more inclined to reduce their effort when working in a group setting, as opposed to when they are working alone.

**Distribution of Responsibility:** When individuals believe that their personal efforts are not crucial or that they are not personally accountable for the outcome, they are more likely to rely on others to take action. This diffusion of responsibility often leads to reduced individual effort.

**Group Size:** The size of the group can greatly influence the amount of effort each member puts forth. In smaller groups, individuals often feel that their contributions are more significant and thus are more likely to put in more effort. Conversely, as the group size increases, individuals tend to exert less effort,

assuming that their contribution will go unnoticed or that others will compensate for their lack of effort.

**Expectations:** The expectations regarding group performance – whether positive or negative – can affect the level of effort an individual is willing to invest. If an individual expects the group to perform poorly, they may not see the value in exerting much effort, whereas positive expectations can encourage greater individual contributions [4].

Social loafing, first observed and documented by Latane, Williams, and Harkins in 1979 [5], describes the tendency of individuals to reduce their effort when working collectively as opposed to working alone. This phenomenon has been observed across various domains, from academic environments [6] to organizational settings [7], posing a significant challenge to effective teamwork and hindering the realization of collective goals. Understanding the underlying causes and consequences of social loafing is crucial for developing effective strategies to mitigate its impact and foster a more productive and collaborative group dynamic. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of social loafing, drawing from existing research to explore its multifaceted nature, identify contributing factors, analyze its effects, and propose practical solutions.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The extensive body of research on social loafing has identified several key factors contributing to this phenomenon. These factors can be broadly categorized into individual, group, and organizational levels:

### 1. Individual Factors

**Lack of Personal Involvement:** Research by Brickner et al. (1986) [8] demonstrated that when tasks are personally meaningful and engaging, the social loafing effect is significantly reduced. Individuals are more likely to invest effort when they perceive the task as relevant to their personal values, interests, or goals. For instance, students are more likely to contribute to group projects when they feel the topic is relevant to their future career aspirations [9].

**Lack of Individual Accountability:** Studies by Karau and Williams (1993) [10] highlighted the importance of individual accountability in mitigating social loafing. When individuals perceive that their contributions are identifiable and measurable, they are more likely to exert effort. This is further supported by the findings of [11], where self-evaluation, even in the absence of external evaluation, helped eliminate social loafing. In a study by [12], researchers found that students who were required to individually submit their contributions to a group project exhibited significantly less social loafing compared to those who submitted a single group report.

**Individual Characteristics:** Research has shown that individual characteristics like conscientiousness and exchange ideology influence social loafing; individual characteristics, particularly exchange ideology, play a crucial role in reducing social loafing. It clearly outlines managerial guidelines that can be implemented to effectively mitigate social loafing [13]. Conscientious individuals, characterized by their high sense of responsibility and commitment, are less likely to engage in social loafing [13]. Similarly, employees with a low exchange ideology, who perceive a strong sense of fairness and reciprocity in

their workplace, are less prone to social loafing, especially when task visibility and professional respect are high [14].

## 2. Group Factors

**Group Size:** Larger group sizes are often associated with increased social loafing. As group size increases, individuals may feel less personally responsible for the outcome, leading to reduced effort [5]. This is known as the "diffusion of responsibility" effect [15].

**Task Complexity:** Complex tasks, requiring coordination and interdependence among members, are more susceptible to social loafing. In such cases, individuals may find it difficult to assess their individual contributions, leading to reduced effort [16].

**Group Cohesion:** Groups with low cohesion, characterized by weak interpersonal bonds and a lack of shared goals, are more prone to social loafing. When members feel disconnected and lack a sense of belonging, they are less likely to invest effort in the group's success [17].

## 3. Organizational Factors

**Reward Systems:** The design of reward systems can significantly influence social loafing. Studies by [18] revealed that contingent rewards, where individual contributions are directly linked to rewards, are negatively associated with social loafing. Conversely, non-contingent punishments, where punishments are applied regardless of individual contributions, are positively associated with social loafing. This suggests that carefully designed reward systems, emphasizing individual accountability and rewarding individual contributions, can effectively mitigate social loafing. For example, implementing individual performance bonuses for exceeding team goals can encourage individual effort [19].

**Organizational Culture:** A culture that values individual effort, accountability, and collaboration can significantly reduce social loafing. Conversely, a culture that promotes a sense of anonymity and discourages individual responsibility can exacerbate social loafing [20]. Organizations that foster a culture of transparency, where individual contributions are recognized and valued, are more likely to see reduced social loafing [21].

The phenomenon of social loafing is affected by the complex interaction of individual, group and organizational factors. At the individual level, innate personality traits such as personal relevance of tasks, perception of individual responsibility, and conscientiousness and change ideology play important roles in determining the likelihood of social loafing. At the group level, factors such as group size, task complexity, and group cohesion significantly influence the extent to which individuals contribute to group efforts. Larger groups, complex tasks, and low cohesion are associated with increased social loafing. At the organizational level, the design of reward systems and the prevailing organizational culture are critical in reducing or exacerbating social loafing.

## METHODOLOGY

This article adopts a qualitative research approach through an extensive review of the existing literature on comprehensively understand the factors that contribute to social loafing and identify effective solutions. We reviewed existing literature, including empirical studies, case studies, and surveys, to analyze this phenomenon from various perspectives. To provide a comprehensive analysis of social loafing in different contexts, data were collected from a variety of settings, including educational settings [6], non-profit organizations [22], and software development teams [23].

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Result

Our findings support existing literature highlighting the importance of personal involvement, individual responsibility, and effective reward systems in reducing social loafing. Tasks that are personally meaningful and allow for self-evaluation tend to reduce the loafing effect; because individuals are more likely to exert effort when they perceive their contributions as valuable and effective. Reward systems that offer conditional rewards and avoid unconditional punishments are also effective in encouraging individual effort and reducing social loafing.

Reward systems that emphasize individual responsibility and a culture that values transparency and individual contributions can effectively reduce social loafing. Therefore, understanding and addressing these factors is vital for organizations aiming to improve group performance and minimize or even eliminate the negative effects of social loafing.

### Practical Solutions

**Lean-Kanban Models:** The Lean-Kanban model, commonly used in agile software development and non-profit organizations, has proven effective in reducing social loafing by streamlining processes, enhancing individual accountability, and promoting transparency [24]. By visualizing the workflow and individual contributions, Lean-Kanban models foster a sense of shared responsibility and encourage individual effort. In a study by [25], researchers found that implementing Lean-Kanban in a software development team significantly reduced social loafing and improved team productivity.

**Team Expectations Agreements (TEAs):** TEAs, commonly used in agile software development teams, set clear expectations for individual contributions, roles, and responsibilities, fostering a sense of commitment and reducing social loafing [26]. By establishing a shared understanding of goals, responsibilities, and performance metrics, TEAs promote individual accountability and encourage active participation. Research has shown that teams with well-defined TEAs experience lower levels of social loafing and higher levels of team performance [27].

**Self-Evaluation Techniques:** Encouraging self-evaluation, where individuals assess their own contributions and identify areas for improvement, can effectively mitigate social loafing. This practice promotes individual accountability and encourages individuals to take ownership of their work. Studies have shown that self-evaluation can be an effective tool for reducing social loafing, particularly in group projects [28].

### **Discussion**

The interaction between individual characteristics and situational factors is crucial in understanding and addressing social loafing. For example, employees with low exchange ideology are more sensitive to task visibility and professional respect, which may increase their effort levels [14]. Similarly, conscientious individuals are inherently less likely to engage in social loafing [13].

Additionally, the effectiveness of solutions such as Lean Kanban models and TEAs highlights the importance of structured and transparent processes in reducing social loafing. By promoting a culture of accountability, clear expectations, and shared responsibility, organizations can significantly reduce instances of social loafing and foster a more productive and collaborative work environment.

### **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

Social loafing remains a significant challenge in group settings, but understanding its underlying causes and implementing specific interventions can reduce its impact. Personal involvement, individual responsibility and effective reward systems are key factors in reducing social loafing. Organizational tools such as Lean-Kanban models and TEAs offer practical solutions to improve group performance.

Future research should continue to explore the complex interplay between individual characteristics and situational factors to develop more nuanced strategies to combat social loafing and maximize group productivity. Moreover, improving organizational culture, giving importance to motivation, training and development in the workplace, delegation of authority and personnel empowerment, participation of employees in management, establishing empathy and sympathy in the workplace, carrying out supervision constantly and professionally and reminding the rules in the workplace are very effective in eliminating social loafing.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I am grateful to my family for their continuous supports. As An author of the manuscript, I have read and agreed to its content and are accountable for all aspects of accuracy and integrity of the manuscript; b) I confirm that the submitted article is their original work, not under consideration or review by any other publication, and has not been published elsewhere in the same or a similar form.

## REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, P., & O'Brien, C. (2008). Social Loafing on Group Projects. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 30, 255 - 264.
- Anderson, D. (2010). *Kanban: Successful Evolutionary Change for Your Technology Business*. Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media.
- Brickner, M., Harkins, S., & Ostrom, T. (1986). Effects of personal involvement: Thought-provoking implications for social loafing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 763-769.
- Byun, G., Lee, S., Karau, S., & Dai, Y. (2020). Sustaining Collaborative Effort in Work Teams: Exchange Ideology and Employee Social Loafing. *Sustainability*.
- Chen, J. C., & Cheng, C. (2018). Solving social loafing phenomenon through Lean-Kanban. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*.
- Cohen, S. G., & Bailey, D. E. (1997). What makes teams work: Group effectiveness research and the development of the team effectiveness inventory. *Personnel Psychology*, 50(4), 689-712.
- Chen, J. C., & Cheng, C. (2018). Solving social loafing phenomenon through Lean-Kanban. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*.
- Cherry, K. (2018). What is social loafing? Causes and consequences. *Verywell Mind*. Retrieved from <https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-social-loafing-2795883>
- Deutsch, M. (1962). Cooperation and trust: Some theoretical notes. In M. R. Jones (Ed.), *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation* (pp. 275-319). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Harkins, S. G., & Petty, R. E. (1982). The effects of task difficulty and performance evaluation on social loafing. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 8(4), 548-554.
- Hoon, H., & Tan, T. M. L. (2008). Organizational Citizenship Behavior and Social Loafing: The Role of Personality, Motives, and Contextual Factors. *The Journal of Psychology*, 142, 108 - 89.
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hwang, M. H., & Kim, D. H. (2015). The impact of Kanban on software development team performance: A case study. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 104, 1-12.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1994). *Learning together and alone: Cooperative, competitive, and individualistic learning*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Karau, S. J., & Williams, K. D. (1993). Social loafing: A meta-analytic review and theoretical integration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65(4), 681-706.
- Karau, S. J., & Williams, K. D. (1993). Social loafing: A meta-analytic review and theoretical integration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65(4), 681-698.
- Kerr, N. L. (1983). Motivation through rewards: A problem and a partial solution. *Academy of Management Journal*, 26(1), 82-93.

- Latane, B., Williams, K., & Harkins, S. (1979). Many hands make light the work: The causes and consequences of social loafing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(6), 822-832.
- Latané, B. (1981). The psychology of social impact. *American Psychologist*, 36(4), 343-356.
- Murphy, S., Wayne, S. J., Liden, R., & Erdogan, B. (2003). Understanding Social Loafing: The Role of Justice Perceptions and Exchange Relationships. *Human Relations*, 56, 61 - 84.
- Özan, M. B., Gündüzalp, S., Yaraş, Z., & Polat, H. (2019). Eğitim kurumlarında sosyal kaytarma. *Dicle Üniversitesi Ziya Gökalp Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, (36), 1-9.
- Simms, E. M., & Nichols, T. A. (2014). Social loafing and the factors that impact it. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 18(3), 255-261.
- Shaw, M. E. (1981). *Group dynamics: The psychology of small group behavior*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Schwaber, K., & Beedle, M. (2001). *Agile software development with Scrum*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Williams, K. D., Harkins, S. G., & Latane, B. (1981). Identifiability as a deterrent to social loafing: Two cheering experiments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 40(4), 303-312.
- Ying, X., Li, H., Jiang, S., Peng, F., & Lin, Z. (2014). Group laziness: The effect of social loafing on group performance. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 42, 465-472.